



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यशासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

खण्ड 27]

शिमला, शनिवार, 15 सितम्बर, 1979/ 24 भाद्रपद, 1901

[संख्या 37

विषय-सूची

भाग 1	वैधानिक विषयों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि	1072—1085
भाग 2	वैधानिक विषयों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि	1085—1089
भाग 3	अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाइनेंशियल कमिशनर तथा कमिशनर ऑफ इन्कम-टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि	1089—1094
भाग 4	स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन: म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, मेट्रोफाइन और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग	1094
भाग 5	वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन	1094—1101
भाग 6	भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन	—
भाग 7	भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं	—
अनुसूचक		1102—1109

15 सितम्बर, 1979/24 भाद्रपद, 1901 को समाप्त होने वाले सप्ताह में निम्नलिखित विज्ञप्तियां 'प्रसाधारण राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश' में प्रकाशित हुईं:—

विज्ञप्ति की संख्या	विभाग का नाम	विषय
संख्या 11-69/68-गृह (ए), दिनांक 4 सितम्बर, 1979.	गृह विभाग	जिला लाहुल स्पिति में पूर्व परिचालित क्षेत्रों में फील्ड फाइनल तथा आर्टिलरी अभ्यास का प्राचीकरण।
क्रमांक 1-10/68-होम-बी, दिनांक 10 सितम्बर, 1979.	-यथैव-	अग्नि सेवाओं को हिमाचल प्रदेश अग्निशमन सविस्जि (मेट्रोनेम) एक्ट, 1972 लागू करना।
सं० पी० सी० एच० एच० ए० (4)-11/76, दिनांक 23 अगस्त, 1979.	पंचायती राज विभाग	पंचायत समिति कुल्लू, जिला कुल्लू का अधिकरण और उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (नागरिक), कुल्लू को पंचायत समिति कुल्लू की पूर्ण शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने तथा उन्हें निभाने हेतु नियुक्त करना।
सं० पी० सी० एच० एच० ए० (4)-11/76, दिनांक 11 सितम्बर, 1979.	-यथैव-	अधिसूचना संख्या पी० सी० एच० एच० ए० (4)-11/76, दिनांक 28 मार्च, 1979 को रद्द करना।

**भाग 1—बंधनिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा
प्रधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि**

हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-1, the 31st August, 1979

No. HHC/Admn. 6 (18)/77-II.—In exercise of the powers vested in them by section 13 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to confer upon Shri Sudript Roy, I.A.S., Assistant Commissioner, Sirmur district and Shri S. C. Negi, I.A.S. Assistant Commissioner Kangra district at Dharamsala, the powers of Special Judicial Magistrates 2nd Class to be exercised by them within the limits of Sirmur and Kangra districts respectively for the period of six months from the date of the issue of this Notification.

Simla-1, the 31st August, 1979

No. HHC/Admn. 16 (7)/74.—In exercise of the powers vested in them under section 139 (b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to appoint for a period of two years with effect from the date of this notification Shri Ved Bhushan Kaistha, Advocate, Dharamsala, as Oath Commissioner for the Headquarters at Dharamsala to administer Oaths/Affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the Code, in accordance with the terms specified in paragraph 5 of Chapter 12-B Punjab High Court Rules and Orders Vol. IV as applied to Himachal Pradesh.

Simla-1, the 1st September, 1979

No. HHC/GAZ/14-22/74.—The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant 13 days earned leave with effect from September 17, 1979 to September 29, 1979 with permission to prefix and suffix holidays falling on September 16, 1979 and from September 30, 1979 to October 7, 1979 (on account of Sunday and Dussehra holidays), to Shri Om Parkash Sharma, Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

Certified that Shri Om Parkash Sharma would continue to hold the post of Senior Sub-Judge-cum-Chief Judicial Magistrate but for his proceeding on leave.

Also certified that after expiry of the above leave Shri Om Parkash Sharma is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave.

CORRIGENDUM

Simla, the 4th September, 1979

No. HHC/Admn. 6 (18)/74-II.—In this Court notification of even number dated 25th July, 1979 published in the Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra of 25th August, 1979 at page 907 against Sr. number 33, substitute the word "Hamirpur" for the word "Una".

By order,
H. D. KAINTHLA,
Registrar.

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-2, the 15th December, 1978

No. PER (AP-II) A (3) 1/74 (2).—In exercise of the powers conferred by Rules 2.42 and 14.4 of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, Vol. I (Part I) read with Rules 6, 10 and 12 of the Punjab Civil Services (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1952 and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, in so far as the officers governed under the Punjab Rules are concerned, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to direct that in respect of the services and posts in Class II and Class III as specified in column No. 1 of the Schedule annexed hereto, the authorities specified in columns No. 2 and 5 shall be the disciplinary authorities in respect of the penalties specified thereunder and the authorities specified in columns No. 3, 4, 6 and 7 shall be the appellate authorities in regard to the penalties specified in columns 2 and 5 respectively.

2. The orders contained in notifications No. 88-45/56-DP (Appt-II) (2), dated 18th May, 1974 and 20th September, 1975 shall stand modified to the above extent in so far as they relate to the Assistant Engineers and Junior Engineers of the Public Works Department working in Rural Integrated Development Department.

SCHEDULE

Description of posts	Competent authority to impose penalties with reference to item nos. (i), (ii), (iv) and (v) of rule 4 of Pb. CSs. (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1952	Appellate authority in respect of penalties specified in col. No. 2	Appellate authority for 2nd appeal	Competent authority to impose penalties with reference to item Nos. (iii), (v) and (vi) of 4 of Pb. CSs. (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1952	Appellate authority in r/o penalties specified in col. No. 5	Appellate authority for 2nd appeal
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Assistant Engineers (Class II) of the P.W.D. working in the R.I.D. Department.	Secretary to the Govt. in R.I.D. Department.	State Govt. in R.I.D. Department.	—	State Govt. in P.W.D.	—	—
Junior Engineers (Class III) of the P.W.D. working in the R.I.D. Department.	Head of Department in R.I.D. Department.	State Govt. in R.I.D. Department.	—	Head of Department in P.W.D.	—	—

Simla-2, the 27th February, 1979

No. KARMIK (A-I)-B (2)-8/78.—Whereas, Shri S. B. Dogra joined pre-commission training at Indian Military Academy, Dehradun on 15-1-1963 and was granted emergency Commission on 27-9-1963 and was released from the Indian Army in 1-11-1967;

WHEREAS, Shri S. B. Dogra joined Indo-Tibetan Border Police as Deputy Superintendent of Police (Company Commandant) and was confirmed as Company Commandant with effect from 1-5-1971;

WHEREAS, the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission advertised the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police in Himachal Pradesh and Shri Dogra was selected and he joined Himachal Pradesh Police Service on 8-9-1975;

WHEREAS Shri Dogra thereafter applied for the grant of seniority to him in terms of Rule 5 (1) of the Demobilised Armed Forces Personnel (Reservation of Vacancies in the Himachal Pradesh Non-Technical Services) Rules, 1972.

The Rule 5 (1) reads as under:—

“Seniority and pay of the candidates who are appointed against the vacancies reserved under Rule 3 shall be determined on the assumption that they joined the service or the post as the case may be, under the State Government at the first opportunity they had after they joined the military service or training prior to the Commission.”

WHEREAS, the case of the fixation of seniority of Shri Dogra was referred to the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission in December, 1975 and the Public Service Commission has desired that the seniority of Shri Dogra be fixed just above Shri Inderjit Kumar;

WHEREAS, a tentative seniority list was prepared and circulated for inviting objections if any *vide* this Government Office Memorandum No. Pcr (A-I)-A (3)-4/76, dated the 31st March, 1977;

WHEREAS, S/Shri O. S. Singh, Raj Kumar Mehta, Atma Ram Thakur, Bhag Singh, Swaroop Singh, Chuni Lal, Amar Singh Guleria, S. R. Thakur and Janak Raj etc., have raised objections which read as under:—

“That Shri S. B. Dogra, E.C.O., after his release from the Army had joined the Indo-Tibetan Border Police Force as Deputy Superintendent of Police and was subsequently, confirmed in the said organisation against the above post. Shri S. B. Dogra had, therefore, availed of all sorts of protection/concession which were available and permissible to him under the rules. What-so-ever benefits he could claim for on the basis of his Army Service had been given to him by the authorities of the I.T.B.P.F. and had been completely availed of by him. By joining the Indo-Tibetan Border Police Shri Dogra had become a permanent employee of that organisation and has also been confirmed as Deputy Superintendent of Police. The Indo-Tibetan Border Police Organisation is also under the Ministry of Home Affairs. The Himachal Pradesh Government has amended Rule 5 of the Demobilised Armed Forces Personnel (Reservation of Vacancies in Himachal State Non-Technical Services) Rules, 1972 and the seniority and pay of the candidates who are appointed against the vacancies reserved under rule 3 shall be determined on the assumption that they joined the service or the post as the case may be, at the first opportunity. Therefore, Shri Dogra had ceased to be an E.C.O. and his recruitment

against the reserved post is erroneous and he is not entitled to any benefits again under rules 3 and 5 of the Demobilised Armed Forces Personnel (Reservation of vacancies in Himachal State Non-Technical Services) Amendment Rules, 1974 and therefore, he cannot be given seniority again from 1964. This being the legal and factual position in the case of Shri S. B. Dogra, he deserves to be given seniority from the factual date of his appointment to the Himachal Pradesh Police Service, *viz*, 8-9-1975.”

WHEREAS, Shri Swaroop Singh has requested that similar benefits be extended to him because he was also a person entitled to the benefit of Rule 5 (1) of the Demobilised Armed Forces Personnel (Reservation of Vacancies in the Himachal Pradesh Non-Technical Services) Rules, 1972;

WHEREAS, the representations mentioned *ibid* were placed before the State Advisory Committee and the recommendations were made by the Advisory Committee have been carefully considered by the Government of India and observed as:—

“Under the rules framed by the Government of Himachal Pradesh a demobilised person appointed to a vacancy reserved under rule 3 will be entitled to the benefit of Rule 5 (1) provided it was his first civil employment under the State Government. It is undisputed that Shri S. D. Dogra was demobilised Emergency Commissioned Officer. It is also undisputed that he was appointed to a reserved vacancy. Nor there is any dispute that his appointment as Deputy Superintendent of Police under the Himachal Pradesh Government was his first civil employment under the State Government. But it is contended that by reason of his appointment immediately after he was demobilised as Commandant in the Indo-Tibetan Border Police which is regarded as civil post, Shri Dogra is disentitled to the benefit of rule 5 (1). The question that then arises for consideration is whether by reason of that appointment, he will be disentitled to the benefit of rule 5 (1).”

As stated earlier, rule 3 and 5 (1) as amended from time to time provide for the benefit only in case of **first civil appointment under the State Government**. The benefit is not extended to cases of second and subsequent civil appointment under the State Government. There is nothing in the said rules which puts an embargo on the grant of the benefit in cases of the type under consideration where demobilised persons are first appointed to civil post not of the State Government but of the Central Government or even of the other State Governments and are thereafter appointed to civil appointment as Deputy Superintendent of Police was his first appointment under the State Government *i.e.* Government of Himachal Pradesh. Therefore, the fact of Shri Dogra's appointment as Commandant in the Indo-Tibetan Border Police will not stand in the way of his getting the benefit of Rule 5 (1). In view of the foregoing considerations the proposal may be given effect to and the representations in this regard rejected.

As far Shri Saroop Singh, it is observe that his appointment to the post of Deputy Superintendent of

Police is his second civil employment under the State Government now is Government of Himachal Pradesh. Therefore, he is disentitled to the benefit which he is claiming."

Now THEREFORE, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh in relaxation of rule 18 (c) of the Himachal Pradesh Police Service Rules, 1973 is pleased to assign seniority to Shri S. B. Dogra in the Himachal Pradesh Police Service above Shri Inderjit Kumar.

Simla-2, the 24th August, 1979

No. 1-15/73-DP-Appdt.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to order that during the absence of Shri K. S. Dhaliwal, I.P.S., Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Southern Range on training, Shri K. N. Kapoor, I.P.S., Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Armed and Training shall also look after the work of the post of Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Southern Range, in addition to his own duties.

Simla-171002, the 31st August, 1979

No. PER. (A.I)-8 (3)-16/78.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to order that during the absence of Dr. A. N. Mahotra, Principal, Himachal Pradesh, Medical College on Common Wealth Senior Medical Fellowship, Dr. V. K. Bhargava, Professor,

Himachal Pradesh Medical College shall hold the additional charge of the post of Principal, Himachal Pradesh, Medical College in addition to his own duties.

L. H. TOCHHAWNG,
Chief Secretary

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Simla-171002, the 1st September, 1979

No. Agr. B. (2)-6/78.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to place the services of Kanwer Surjeet Singh, Assistant Soil Conservation Officer, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh at the disposal of the Himachal Pradesh Agro-Industries Corporation for appointment, on deputation, as Branch Manager in the pay-scale of Rs. 800—1300, Himachal Pradesh Agro-Workshop, Bhangrotu, District Mandi for a period of one year in the first instance on usual terms and conditions to issue separately.

2. Shri Surjeet Singh will hand over the charge to, Shri K. K. Kapur, Assistant Soil Conservation Officer on the latter's transfer to Mandi.

By order,
ANANG PAL,
Secretary.

BOARD OF DEPARTMENTAL EXAMINATIONS

NOTIFICATION

Fair Lawns, Simla-171012, January 15, 1979

No. HIPA (Exam) 5/74-III.—The result of the Departmental Examination of the officers belonging to Indian Administrative Service/Himachal Pradesh Administrative Service held in September-October, 1978 is hereby notified as below:—

Sr. No.	Name	Designation	Roll No.	S U B J E C T S													
				Criminal Law and Procedure.	Criminal Case Revenue Law and Procedure.	Hindi.	Revenue case.	General Administration.	Planning and Development.	Constitution and Civil Law	Civil Service, Treasury and Financial Rules.	Special Acts (Criminal) Manuals and Rules.	Minor Revenue Acts and Manuals.	Motor Mechanism and Driving.	Target Shooting (Rifle-Revolver)	Horse Riding	
1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1.	Shri Vijay Singh Negi	S. D. M., Simla	601	Pass	Pass	Pass	—	Pass	—	Pass	Fail	—	Pass	Fail	A	—	Q
2.	Shri Kashmir Chand	Leave and Trg. Reserve Officer to D. C. Sirmur at Nahau.	602	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Fail	—	Q
3.	Shri Puran Chand Kashyap.	D.D.P.O., Kulu	603	—	Pass	Pass	—	—	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	Q
4.	Shri N. C. Sood	Leave and Trg. Reserve Officer to D.C. Mandi.	604	A	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	Q
5.	Shri Ajai Bhandari	D. D. P. O., Bilaspur	605	A	A	—	—	—	—	—	A	A	A	—	—	—	A
6.	Shri Prem Chand Katoch	Leave and Trg. Reserve Officer to D.C. Kangra.	606	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Q	Q
7.	Shri Romesh Chand Isipil.	G. A. to D. C. Bilaspur	607	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Q
8.	Shri Rakesh Kaushal	S. D. M., Pangri	608	—	Fail	—	—	—	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	Q	Q

9.	Shri Chander Shamsher	S. D. M., Dalhousie	609	—	—	—	—	—	—	A	—	A	—	—	—	A	—
10.	Shri Sitanu Bhuria	S. D. O. (C), Kulu, Himachal Pradesh.	610	—	Pass	Pass	—	—	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—
11.	Shri B. S. Jaswal	D. D. P. O., Solan	611	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—	Q
12.	Shri Ashwani Kumar	S. D. M. Dehra	612	Pass	Pass	Pass	—	Pass	—	—	—	Pass	Pass	Pass	Fail	Q	Q
13.	Shri K. C. Verma	S. D. M. Anni, District Kulu.	613	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—
14.	Shri B. S. Nainta	G. A. to D. C. Solan, Himachal Pradesh.	614	—	Fail	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Q	Q
15.	Shri T. D. Negi	G. A. to D. C. Keylong	615	—	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—
16.	Shri R. G. Sood	I. A. S. Prob. c/o D. C. Kangra, Himachal Pradesh.	616	A	A	A	—	A	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
17.	Shri Ashis, Kumar Dev	I. A. S. Prob. c/o D.C. Sirmur.	617	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	—	—	—	Pass	Pass	Pass	Q	—	—
18.	Shri T. C. Janertha	Project Officer, I. T. D. P., Chamba.	618	—	A	—	—	A	—	—	—	—	—	—	A	A	A
19.	Miss Sarojini Ganju	I. A. S. Prob. c/o D. C. Sirmur.	619	Pass	Fail	Pass	—	Pass	—	—	—	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	—	—
20.	Shri Ashok Thakur	I. A. S. Prob. c/o D. C. Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.	620	Pass	Pass	Pass	A	Pass	—	—	—	Pass	Pass	Pass	—	—	—
21.	Shri Shakti Singh Chandel	Assitant Excise and Taxa- tion Commissioner, Hima- chal Pradesh, Simla-3.	621	—	Pass	—	—	—	—	—	Fail	—	—	—	—	—	—

Note.—'A' denotes 'Absent'.
'Q' denotes 'Qualified'.

S. N. VERMA,
Secretary.

TABLE, PENDING LIST, IS PREPARED, 1978/24 MARCH, 1981

**VITT VIBHAG
(KOSH TATHA LEKHA ANUBHAG)
NOTIFICATION**

Simla-171002, the 31st August, 1979

No. Fin. (TR) B (2)-1/79.—On the recommendations of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint Shri Amrit Lal Sharma as officiating Treasury Officer (Class II Gazetted) in the scale of Rs. 350-25-500-30-590/30-830-35-900 in Kalpa treasury w.e.f. 23rd July, 1979 (A.N.). He will be on probation for a period of 2 years.

S. K. ALOK,
Sachiv.

**FOREST FARMING AND ENVIRONMENTAL
CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT
NOTIFICATION**

Simla-2, the 31st August, 1979

No. Van. Kha-15-1/78.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh on the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee and with the approval of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, is pleased to promote Shri Om Pal Singh, Junior Engineer in the pay scale of Rs. 200—450 to the post of Assistant Engineer (Civil) in the pay scale of Rs. 400—1100 on purely temporary basis with immediate effect.

ATTAR SINGH,
Secretary.

गृह (बी) विभाग
अधिसूचना

सिमला-171002, 1 मार्च, 1979

नं० 19-19/71-गृह.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश महाविभक्ता के कार्यालय में सहायक के पद पर कार्यरत श्री मोहन लाल चौहान, की नियुक्ति वर्तमान अपर महाविभक्ता के वर्तमान रिक्त पद के स्थान पर, रुपये 450—800 के वेतनमान में विधि अधिकारी के रूप में पूर्णतया अस्थाई तौर पर तुरन्त करने का आदेश देते हैं।

आदेशानुसार,
एल० एच० तोन्झान,
मुख्य सचिव।

स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण विभाग
अधिसूचनाएं

सिमला-2, 7 अगस्त 1979

सं एच. एफ. डब्ल्यू (एफ) (4)-3/77-4.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश सभ संघक अधिसूचना दिनांक 28-4-1978 को आंशिक रूप में संशोधित करके कम स० 5 पर मनोनीत स्क्रॉनिंग कमिटी के सदस्य के रूप में श्री राम प्रसाद शर्मा को नियुक्ति करने के आदेश देते हैं। मैनेजर आफ दी एक्ट मैनेजिंग कमिटी, हिम आयुर्वेद डिगरी कालिज, पपरोला जो पहले पांचवें सदस्य मनोनीत थे वह अब मृत्यु के कारण सदस्य नहीं रहे।

सिमला-2, 7 अगस्त, 1979

संख्या एच० एफ० डब्ल्यू० बी० (3) 123/78.—राज्यपाल हिमाचल प्रदेश डा० प्रवीण कुमार गुप्ता को 700—1300 रुपये के वेतनमान में 900 रुपये की स्टेज पर दिनांक 1-6-77 से

दक्षतारोध पार करने की अनुमति प्रदान करते हैं। दिनांक 1-6-77 को प्रवीण कुमार गुप्ता का वेतन 940 रुपये हो जायेगा।

प्रमर नाथ बिद्यार्थी
सचिव।

INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT

CORRIGENDA

Simla-171002, the 28th July, 1979

No. Ind. VI (F) 12-56/78.—Please substitute the date as "13-3-74" for date "13-3-79" appearing in the second line of this Department's notification of even number, dated 11-6-1979, issued by Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in exercise of the powers under sub-section 2 of section 26 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 read with section 22 of the said Act.

Simla-171002, the 28th July, 1979

No. IND VI (F) 12-56/78.—Please substitute the date as "13-3-74" for date "13-3-79" appearing in the second line of this Department's notification of even number, dated 11-6-1979, issued by the Governor, Himachal Pradesh in exercise of the powers conferred in him under sub-section 2 of section 26 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, read with sub-section (3) of section 21 of the said Act.

R. C. GUPTA,
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

NOTIFICATION

Simla-171002, the 31st July, 1979

No. 4-9/71-SI(FC)-II.—In continuation of this Department's notification of even number, dated the 3rd July, 1976 and in pursuance of the provisions of section 15 of the State Financial Corporations Act, 1951, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh after considering the recommendation of the Board of Directors of Himachal Pradesh Financial Corporation, is pleased to appoint Shri L. Himingliana Tochhawng, Chief Secretary to the Government of Himachal Pradesh as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Himachal Pradesh Financial Corporation with effect from 3rd July, 1978 to 31-12-1979.

By order,
R. C. GUPTA,
Secretary.

उद्योग विभाग

अधिसूचनाएं

सिमला-171002, 3 अगस्त, 1979

सं० इण्ड-6-एफ-5-12/78 (समिति).—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश भारत सरकार के उद्योग मन्त्रालय औद्योगिक विकास विभाग) की सिफारिश पर जिला उद्योग केन्द्रों की स्थापना हेतु इस प्रदेश की राज्य स्तरीय समन्वय समिति जिसका गठन इस विभाग की अधिसूचना सभ संख्या, दिनांक 12 जुलाई, 1978 द्वारा किया

गया है, में प्रत्यक्ष निवेदन हिमाचल परामर्शदात्री संगठन नामित, सिमला (हिमकोन) का सदस्य के रूप में नामांकित करने के सहय आदेश देने है।

आदेश द्वारा,
रमेश चन्द्र गुप्ता,
आयुक्त एवं सचिव।

सिमला-171002, 6 अगस्त, 1979

संख्या-उद्योग-3 (ब) 1-1/79 (एस्ट).—हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग की सिफारिश पर श्री मुरेन्द्र सिंह को तकनीकी अधिकारी (चाय) राजपथित श्रेणी-1, वेतनमान 1300-50-1600 उद्योग विभाग में, राज्यपाल महोदय इस विभाग के ज्ञापन में 0 इण्ड-11 (बी) 2-2/77 (एस्ट) दिनांक 21-2-1979 में दी गई शर्तों के अनुकूल नियुक्ति के सहय आदेश देते हैं। श्री मुरेन्द्र सिंह का मुख्यालय पालमपुर, जिला कांगड़ा में होगा।

रमेश चन्द्र गुप्ता,
आयुक्त तथा सचिव।

विधि विभाग

अधिसूचना

सिमला-2, 25 जुलाई, 1979

संख्या-एल. एल. आर. बी (15) 4/76.—इन विभाग की सम संख्यक अधिसूचना दिनांक 25-4-79 का अधिकरण करने हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश श्री यदु पति, महायक जिला अटार्नी एवं लोक अभियोजक (सतर्ता), मन्डी को 590/- रुपये पर लगी दक्षता रोच 350-25-500.30-590/30-830-35-900 के वेतन मान में दिनांक 1-4-78 से पार करने की अनुमति प्रदान करते हैं। अब इनका वेतन दिनांक 1-4-78 से उक्त वेतन मान में 620/- रुपये हो जायेगा।

जय चन्द मल्होत्रा,
सचिव।

LAW DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION

Simla-171002, the 9th August, 1979

No. LLR. B. (1)-2/78.—In continuation of this Department's notification of even number, dated the 7th July, 1979, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh with the approval of the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, conveyed *vide* their letter No. 2-53/72-PSC., dated the 25th April, 1979, is pleased to extend the temporary appointment of S/Shri Jagdish Chander and Shiv Lal, Assistant District Attorneys-cum-Public Prosecutors as District Attorneys for a further period from 13th/14th January, 1979 respectively to 30th June, 1979, on the same terms and conditions as specified in para 2 of this Department's notification referred to above.

J. C. MALHOTRA,
L. R.-cum-Secretary.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION

Simla-171002, the 18th July, 1979

No. 8-51/72-S (Shram).—In exercise of the powers conferred under section 88 of the Employees State In-

surance Act, 1948, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to exempt the following Sales/Medical Representative and employees posted in non-implemented area of M/s Indo Pharmaceutical Works Ltd., Bombay from the operation of Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 (as amended) on the condition mentioned in Annexure to the notification.

Sl. No.	Name of the employee	Place of posting	Period of exemption
1.	Shri S. R. Verma	Dharamsala	1-1-79 to 31-12-79.

ANNEXURE

(1) The aforesaid factory/establishment wherein the employees are employed shall maintain a register showing the names and designations of the exempted employees;

(2) Notwithstanding this exemption, the employees shall continue to receive such benefit under the said Act to which they might have become entitled to on the basis of the contributions paid prior to the date from which exemption granted by this notification operates;

(3) The contributions for the exempted period, if already paid, shall not be refunded;

(4) The employer of the said factory shall submit in respect of the period during which that factory was subject to the operation of the said Act (hereinafter referred to as the said period), such returns in such form and containing such particulars as were due from it in respect of the said period under the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950;

(5) Any Inspector appointed by the Corporation under sub-section (1) of section 45 of the said Act, or other official of the Corporation authorised in this behalf shall, for the purposes of:

- verifying the particulars contained in any return submitted under sub-section (1) of section 44 for the said period; or
- ascertaining whether registers and records were maintained as required by the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950 for the said period; or
- ascertaining whether the employees continue to be entitled to benefits provided by the employer in cash and kind being benefits in consideration of which exemption is being granted under this notification; or
- ascertaining whether any of the provisions of the Act had been complied with during the period when such provisions were in force in relation to the said factory be empowered to;
 - require the principal or immediate employer to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or
 - enter any factory, establishment, office or other premises occupied by such principal or immediate employer at any reasonable time and required any person found in charge thereof to produce to such Inspector or other official and allow him to examine such documents, books and other documents relating to the employment of persons and payment of wages or to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or

(c) examine the principal or immediate employer, his agent or servant or any person found in such factory, establishment, office or other premises, or any person whom the said Inspector or other official has reasonable cause to believe to have been an employee; or

(d) make copies of or take extracts from, any register, account book or other document maintained in such factory, establishment, office or other premises.

शिमला-2, 23 अगस्त, 1079

सं० एन. ई.पी. (बन) 6(3) सं०-8/77-भाग-II-—प्रौद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अध्वक्षण में राज्यपाल, हि० प्र० श्री लक्ष्मी दत्त बनाम मेसर्स सोलन फूड प्रोडक्शन लि०, सोला के मध्य चल रहे विवाद पर प्रोजेडिन्ग अधिकार, श्रम न्यायालय द्वारा किए गए निष्पक्षित निर्णय जो सरकार को पव्ही जून, 1979 को प्राप्त हुआ है, को प्रकाशित करने के सहर्ष आदेश देने हे—

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER LABOUR COURT, HIMACHAL PRADESH, CAMP AT SOLAN, HIMACHAL PRADESH

Application No. 45 of 1977
Date of decision: 18-5-1979

Shri Laxmi Datt

..Petitioner.

Vs.

M/s Solan Food Products Private Ltd. ..Respondent.

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 33-C (2) OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947

ORDER

The Petitioner was an Accountant with the respondent M/s Solan Food Products (P) Ltd., Solan. He was getting a salary of Rs. Rs. 230 per month.

According to the petitioner he worked as Accountant with the Respondent till 2-8-1976 when he was verbally asked that he need not attend the office as his services were no longer needed. That this termination was without one month's notice as required under section 25(f) of the Industrial Disputes Act. So the petitioner claimed one month's salary plus the retrenchment compensation of 6-1/2 months salary i.e. calculated for 13 years and 4 months' service duration with the respondents under section 25(f) (b). His total claim is for Rs. 1,725.00.

The respondent contested the claim on various grounds. They contended that the services of the petitioner were neither terminated nor retrenched but he himself stopped coming and attending the office. That he lost the job by his own act and conduct and was doing part time job of "PUROHITS Astrologer" during the service with the respondents also. The respondent's liability to any such claim as asserted by the petitioner was denied.

The respondent questioned the maintainability of the claim before the Labour Court on the ground that the same should have been addressed to the State Government who should have forwarded it to the appropriate Labour Court.

The petitioner filed rejoinder to the written statement of the respondent denying the allegations of his voluntary withdrawal from the service and explained that the Director and the Manager of the respondent's company sacked him on the first available opportunity on 2nd August, 1976 as he had committed indiscretion of lodging a Civil suit for the recovery of his past salary arrears before the learned Senior Sub-Judge, Solan and the 1st hearing in the said suit was 31-7-1976.

Regarding the claim being addressed to the Labour Court, it is stated that the petitioner was advised by the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh vide his letter dated 18th July, 1977 to lay the claim before the Labour Court.

My learned predecessor raised the following issues to dispose of this claim controversy between the parties

1. whether the petitioner has been retrenched and is entitled to get the retrenchment compensation, if so to what amount ? OPP.
2. whether the petitioner is entitled to one month's salary in lieu of notice ? OPP.
3. Relief.

FINDINGS ON ISSUES:

The petitioner has stated on oath that he was retrenched on 2-8-1976 when he was drawing Rs. 230 per month as his monthly wages. He further stated that after retrenchment he put his claim before the Conciliation Officer where the respondent did not join the proceeding So he had to file this petition thereafter.

There is practically no rebuttal to this evidence of the petitioner except the fact made out by Shri Manohar Chauhan, Labour Inspector, Solan (RW-1) that the petitioner had not filed any application in the office of the Labour Commissioner for getting retrenchment benefit from the respondent though he had told him verbally that he had been retrenched by the respondent and that he had not been paid any retrenchment compensation. He further stated that he advised the petitioner to approach the Conciliation Officer who was the concerned authority.

From the above evidence it is very plain that the petitioner has not pointed out or proved any orders or scheme of retrenchment followed under section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act by the respondents. The respondents have denied that they terminated the services of the petitioner or effected any retrenchment much less in a formal manner. But the fact remains that the service of the petitioner were terminated by the respondent on 2-8-1976.

In such a situation the petitioner could claim the retrenchment compensation or re-instatement or compensation for wrongful termination of the service. But this remedy could be availed by him by way of raising the dispute and having it referred to the Industrial Tribunal by the State Government. The summary remedy of

recovery of the compensation amount and its determination in such a case, cannot be under section 33 (c) (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act. The law in such a case is as under as explained by the learned author of "The Law of Industrial Disputes, 1973 Second Edition—by Malhotra, published by N. M. Tripathi (Vol. I, page 721):

"The payment of compensation as required by S. 25-F (b) is a mandatory pre-condition and the order made without complying with this requirement cannot constitute a valid retrenchment. In such a case the termination of service cannot be treated as a "sort of retrenchment" (g). Any purported "retrenchment" will be void *ab initio* and therefore, invalid and inoperative in law. It will be a case of unlawful termination of service. The workman will be entitled to reinstatement and full wages for the period, he is kept out of employment. However, the remedy of the workmen is to raise an industrial dispute under S. 2A and have the unlawful order of the employer set aside by an industrial tribunal on reference. Since such termination of service is not retrenchment, the question of retrenchment compensation cannot arise. In other words, the retrenchment compensation is payable only when there is "retrenchment" within the meaning of the term defined in S. 2 (cc), i.e. discharge of surplus labour or staff by the employer for any reason whatsoever otherwise than as a punishment inflicted by way of disciplinary action".

The Hon'ble Supreme Court has explained the law on the point in *A.I.R. 1970 Supreme Court, 237, U.P. Electric Supply Co. Ltd., Appellant versus R.K. Shukla and Ors. respondents* that:

"If the liability arises from an award, settlement or under the provisions of Ch. V-A, or by virtue of a statute or a scheme made thereunder, mere denial by the employer may not be sufficient to negative the claim under Sec. 33 C (2) before the Labour Court. Where, however, the right to retrenchment compensation which is the foundation of the claim is itself a matter which is exclusively within the competence of the Industrial Tribunal to be adjudicated upon on a reference, it would be straining the language of Section 33-C (2) to hold that the question whether there has been retrenchment may be decided by the Labour Court. The power of the Labour Court is to compute the compensation claimed to be payable to the workmen on the footing that there has been retrenchment of the workmen. Where retrenchment is conceded, and the only matter in dispute is that by virtue of Sec. 25-FF no liability to pay compensation has arisen the Labour Court will be competent to decide the question. In such a case the question is one of computation and not of determination, of the conditions precedent to the accrual of liability. Where, however, the dispute is whether workmen have been retrenched and computation of the amount is subsidiary or incidental, the Labour Court will have no authority to trespass upon the powers of the Tribunal with which it is statutorily invested".

It appears that the petitioner did not raise this claim of retrenchment compensation and one month's pay in lieu of notice even before the Conciliation Officer District, Solan which he purports to have been referred to the learned Labour Commissioner *vide* Ex. P. 2 and P. 1. Ex. P. 2 is the Memo dated 4th July, 1977 of Labour Commissioner to the Conciliation Officer, Solan stating that the petitioner had requested for the payment of outstanding dues from the Management and had not raised any dispute in terms of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. That his request for payment of his dues can be redressed by filing an application under section 33-C (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 before the Labour Court. This Memo. copy has been endorsed to the petitioner by the Conciliation Officer on 18th July, 1977.

Prior to this the Conciliation Officer had advised the petitioner to pursue the matter with the appropriate authorities of the Government who had been informed of the failure of the Conciliation proceedings.

Again it is clear from the record that the petitioner did not make any demand on the respondent management for the claim of retrenchment compensation and one month's salary amount in lieu of the notice. He at the best may have raised the said claim before the Conciliation Officer which is not clear on the record. In any case no reference of Industrial Dispute can be made in such a situation as laid down in *A.I.R. 1970 Delhi 60, F.L. Corporation (Pot). Ltd. Vs. Union of India*, following *A.I.R. 1968 Supreme Court, 529*. Here the law laid down is that:—

"If no dispute at all was raised by the respondents, with the management, any request sent by them to the Government would only be a demand by them and not an industrial dispute between them and their employer. An industrial dispute, as defined, must be a dispute between employers and workmen. A mere demand to a Government, with a dispute being raised by the workman with their employer, cannot become an industrial dispute. Consequently the material before the Tribunal clearly showed that no such industrial dispute, as was purported to be referred by the State Government to the Tribunal, had ever existed between the appellant Corporation and the respondents and the State Government, in making a reference, obviously committed an error in basing its opinion on material which was not relevant to the formation of opinion".

ISSUE No. 2:

It is one month's salary claim in lieu of notice which is also covered by the same considerations as discussed in issue No. 1 above.

ISSUE No. 3:

In short this claim petition fails. The parties are left to bear their own costs in the case.

The above order is announced to the parties at Solan on 28th day of May, 1979.

SOLAN:
the 28th May, 1979.

Sd/-
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Himachal Pradesh,
Camp at Solan.

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित
मन्विव (श्रम)
हिमाचल प्रदेश ।

MULTIPURPOSE PROJECTS & POWER DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Simla-171002, the 24th March, 1979

No. MPPF (5)-45/77—Agreement made between the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, and the Government of Himachal Pradesh through the Secretary (M.P.P. & Power) for the acquisition of land for a public purpose, namely for the construction of Residential, Colony of Andhra Hydel Project staff at Sandhasu, District Simla (Himachal Pradesh) is hereby published in the Gazette for the information of General Public under section 42. of the Land Acquisition Act 1894.

FORM OF AGREEMENT

This Agreement is made on the 23rd day of May, 1978 between the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board having its headquarters at Simla (as statutory body incorporated under the provision of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Act No. LIV of 1948) through Shri H. S. Dubey, Chairman, Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board (hereinafter called "the Company" which expression shall, unless the context otherwise required, include his successors in office and assignees) of the one part and the Government of Himachal Pradesh through the Secretary (M.P.P. & Power) Government of Himachal Pradesh, (hereinafter called "the Government" which expression shall, unless the context otherwise require, include his successors in office and assignees) of the other part.

Whereas for the purpose of the construction of Residential Colony of Andhra Hydel Project staff at Sandhasu, the company has applied to the Government of Himachal Pradesh for the acquisition under the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the piece of land containing an area of 13 Bighas and 6 Biswas as per detail noted in the specification below situated in the Village Chirgaon, Tehsil Rohru District Simla and more particularly described in the schedule hereto and delineated in the plan hereunto annexed;

And whereas the said Government of Himachal Pradesh, being satisfied by an enquiry held under Section 40, of the said Act that the proposed acquisition is needed for the aforesaid purpose and that the said work is likely to prove useful to public, has consented to acquire on behalf of the company, the piece of land hereinbefore described;

And whereas the said Government of Himachal Pradesh has required the company under the provision of Section 41, of the above mentioned Act to enter into the agreement with the Governor, hereinafter contained;

Now this indenture witnesseth that it is hereby agreed and declared as follows:—

1. On demand the company shall and will pay to the said Government all and every amount in lieu

of the said land tendered, paid or awarded or to be tendered, paid or awarded by the Collector under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, or by Court or Courts to which an appeal from the award of the said court may be preferred and all costs, charges and expenses of the proceeding in the aforesaid Courts, or otherwise incidental to the proposed acquisition or payable in respect thereof under the provisions of the said Act.

2. On demand made by the said Collector the obligations of the Company under the last preceding clause not being thereby limited, the company shall and will deposit with the said Collector such sum or sums of money as in his discretion the said Collector may in anticipation estimate to be necessary for purposes mentioned in the last preceding.
3. On payment by the Company of all demands under the foregoing first clause, or in the discretion of the said Government (on deposit by the Company of all estimated amounts and provided in the second clause), but not before possession shall have been taken under the provisions of the above mentioned Act, the Government shall make over possession of the said land to the company and shall execute and do all such acts and deeds as may be necessary and proper for effectually vesting the same in the Company.
4. The said land shall be held by the company for the purposes of such as Residential Colony of Andhra Hydel Project staff at Sandhasu, as is hereinbefore mentioned and without the sanction in writing of the said Government first had and obtained for no other purpose whatsoever.
5. The construction of said Residential Colony of Andhra Hydel Project staff at Sandhasu, shall be completed (and fully equipped in all respects ready for use) within minimum period of 2 years from the date on which possession of the said land shall have been given to the Company.
6. Should the said Residential Colony of Andhra Hydel Project staff at Sandhasu, not be completed (and fully equipped in all respects ready for use) within the period stated in the last preceding clause or within such further period as in its discretion may be prescribed or allowed by the said Government) or should the said land at any time thereafter cease for a period of six consecutive months to be held and used or cease to be required for the purpose or purposes provided for in the foregoing fourth clause then and in any such case, the said Government may summarily re-enter upon and take possession of the said land together with all buildings etc. thereon, whether such buildings etc. were erected before or after the transfer of the land to the company, and thereupon the interest of the company in the said land and building etc. shall absolutely cease and determine.
7. On taking such possession the said Government may sell or otherwise deal with the said land and building as it may think proper:—

- (i) should the said Government sell the land with the buildings etc. the said Government after deducting the expenses incurred in connection with the said taking of possession and with such sale shall pay the proceeds to the company.
- (ii) should the said Government decide not to sell the land and buildings etc. the said Government shall retain the said land and buildings etc. thereon in which case the Government shall repay to the Company the market value as on the day of re-entry of all the buildings etc. erected by the company and all sums received from the company in respect of all and every amount as provided in the foregoing first clause (less the statutory allowance of 15 per cent, and less any amount received on account of trees and buildings etc. which are not in existence at the time of resumption), but will not repay any sums paid and received

on account of costs, charges and expenses of acquisition.

(iii) should the said Government decide to sell the buildings only upon such sale, the Governor, shall after deducting the expenses of taking possession and selling, pay the balance of the proceeds of sale to the Company, together with the sum received from the company in respect of the amount for the land (less the statutory allowance of 15 per cent and less any amount received from the company on account of trees and buildings which are not in existence at the time of resumption) but will not repay any sum paid and received on account of costs, charges and expenses of acquisition.

should any dispute or difference arise touching or concerning the subject-matter of this agreement or any covenant clause or thing herein contained, the same shall be referred to the Secretary (Law) to the Government, and opinion and the decision of the aforesaid Secretary (Law) upon such dispute or difference shall be final and conclusive and binding on the parties thereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF Shri H.S. Dubey, Chairman, Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board, for and on behalf of the Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and Shri H.S. Dubey, Secretary (M.P.P. & Power to Himachal Pradesh Government, Simla-2, for and on behalf of the Governor of Himachal Pradesh, have hereunto set their respective hands and seals on the day and year first above written.

(Seal) *Chairman,
Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board.*

Witnesses:

Sd/-

1. Shri Anupam Dhar, I.A.S.
Member (Admn.)
H.P.S.E.B. Simla-4.

Sd/-

2. Shri T.R. Sharma, H.A.S.
Secretary, H.P.S.E.B.
Simla-4.

Signed, sealed and delivered
by.....

Witnesses:

1.

Sd/-

Secretary (M.P.P. & Power)

2.

for and on behalf of the Governor of Himachal Pradesh.

The Schedule above referred to:

All that piece or parcel of land situated in Village Chirgaon, Tehsil Rohru, District Simla, containing an area of 13 Bighas and 6 Biswas, detailed as under:—

SPECIFICATION

District: SIMLA

Tehsil: ROHRU

Village 1	Khasra No. 2	Area Big. Bis. 3 4
CHIRGAON	300	0 14
	301	0 6
	302	0 13
	303	1 12
	304	0 10
	306	0 7
	308	0 15
	309	0 12
	310	0 19
	311	0 9
	312	0 7
	1052/313	0 15
	1051/313	1 2
	314	1 4
	1011/315	0 12
	1012/315	0 11
	317	1 4
	318	0 14
Total Kita	..	13 6

PRAMOD KUMAR,
Deputy Secretary (M.P.P. & Power).

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
NOTIFICATION**

Simla-171002, the 8th June, 1979

No. Lok Nirman (Kha)-9(1)-3/79-Mandi.—Whereas it appears to the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, that land is likely to be required to be taken by the Himachal Pradesh Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely for the construction of Jogindernagar-Sarkaghat-Ghumarwin road, it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey and land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of the said land in the locality may, within thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Collector of Land Acquisition, H.P. P.W.D. Mandi and Kulu District, Mandi.

SPECIFICATION

District: MANDI

Tehsil: SARKAGHAT

Village 1	Khasra No. 2	Area H. A. C. 3 4 5	Remarks 6
BAHI/461	477/1	0 00 16	Dhani Awal
	477/2	0 00 13	Dhani Awal
	461	0 01 27	Banjar Kadeem
	691/1	0 01 20	Qharetar
	474/1	0 00 76	Ba Awal
	462	0 02 16	Banjar Kadeem
	690/1	0 00 63	Qharetar
	463/1	0 08 29	G. M. Sarak
	457/1/1	0 01 95	Ba Awal
	459/2	0 01 36	Banjar Kadeem
	459/2	0 01 48	Banjar Kadeem
	460	0 02 00	Qharetar
	430/1	0 00 80	Qharetar
	437/1	0 01 82	Ba Awal
	457/1	0 03 05	Ba Awal
	693/1	0 00 84	Kharetar
	433/1	0 00 50	Kharetar
	438/1	0 01 60	Ba Awal
	692/1	0 00 58	Kharetar
	458	0 13 38	G. M. Sarak
	463	0 07 80	G. M. Sarak
	420/1	0 01 37	Ba Awal
	421	0 02 02	G. M. Sarak
	747/1	0 00 54	Dhani Abbai
	423/1	0 02 16	Ba Awal
	425/1	0 02 68	Ba Awal
	426/1	0 00 48	G. M. Lahasa
	424	0 11 10	G. M. Sarak
	418/1	0 03 29	Ba Awal
Total Kitta	29	0 75 40	

By order,
B. C. NEGI,
Commissioner-cum-Secretary

**REVENUE DEPARTMENT
CORRIGENDUM**

Simla-171002, the 4th August, 1979

No. 1-29/68-Rev. I.—Please read "Assistant Superintendent (Revenue)/H.V.C. in place of "Head Assistant, Director of Land Record Office", appearing at Serial No. 15 in Note under para 4 of this Department Notification of even number, dated the 27/28th July, 1979.

H. S. DUBEY,
Secretary.

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-171002, the 22nd August, 1979

No. Rev. I (G) 6-7/77.—Whereas it appears to Rajyapal, Himachal Pradesh, that land/building is likely to be taken by the Himachal Pradesh Government at the public expenses for a public purpose, namely for the construction of the residential quarter of the Deputy Commissioner, Lahaul and Spiti at Keylong, it is hereby notified that the land/building in locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

2. This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act I of 1894), to all whom it may concern.

3. In exercise of powers conferred by the aforesaid section, Rajyapal, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land/building in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

4. Any person interested, who has any objection to the acquisition of the said land/building in the locality may, within 30 days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Collector, Lahaul and Spiti district, Keylong.

SPECIFICATION

District: LAHAUL AND SPITI Tehsil: LAHAUL

Village	Khasra No.	Area Big, Bis, Bisw.
KEYLONG KOTHI	1170/776	2 05 15
GUMRANG.		
	0 19 0	Agricultural land Dang
	0 14 0	Gair mumkin abadi
	0 12 15	One house having 12 rooms, 2 Varandah and 1 Gallery.
Total		2 05 15

Simla-171002, the 4th September, 1979

No. 17-13/74-Rev. II.—Whereas it appears to the State Government that the existing record of rights of Notified Area of Sarhan, Tehsil Pachhad, District Sirmur requires special revision, the undertaking of the said special revision is hereby sanctioned and notified under section 33 of the Himachal Pradesh Land Revenue Act, 1953.

By order,
H. S. DUBEY,
Secretary.

ग्रामीण एकीकरण विकास विभाग

शुद्धि पत्र

शिमला-2, 9 अगस्त 1979

सं 2-37/73 सी. डी. सेल.—इस विभाग की सम संव्यक्त अधिसूचना दिनांक 27/30-4-1979 जिस द्वारा जनजीवनगर विकास खण्ड अर्ध सोलन में स्थित पंचायतों को धर्मपुर विकास

खण्ड में स्थानान्तरित किया गया था के संदर्भ में पंचायतों नाम की शुद्धि निम्न प्रकार से की जाती है :

उक्त अधिसूचना में समूह नाम जो उक्त शुद्ध नाम जो कोलम-
क्रम संख्या अधिसूचना में है के स्थान पर पढ़ जायेगा ।

1	2	3
1.	खडला	भोगडी (भाकगडी)
4.	जडीला	जाडला
6.	वगर कनेता	बुघार कनेता
9.	डकरियाला	डकरयाणा (डकरियाणा)
11.	नालिका	नालका
12.	सुरजपुर	बरोटीवाला
15.	कसौली	कसौली (गडवाल)
16.	बडलक	बडलम

NOTIFICATION

Simla-171002, the 17th August, 1979

No. RID-I-B-(3)-1/77.—The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, on the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee for Class-II Officers and in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, is pleased to promote the following officials to the post of Block Development Officers in the pay scale of Rs. 330-25-500-30-590/30-800 with effect from 4th July, 1979:—

1. S/Shri Sansar Chand, SEPO (Presently working on *ad hoc* basis as B.D.O.).
2. Hoshiar Singh -do-
3. O. P. Rattan -do-
4. P. G. Sharma E.O. (Horticulture) -do-

2. They will be on probation for two years.

3. The seniority *inter se vis-a-vis* the direct recruits shall be determined in accordance with 20 point roster incorporated in the Recruitment and Promotion Rules relating to the posts of Block Development Officers.

ANANG PAL,
Secretary.

सामान्य प्रशासन विभाग

(क अनुभाग)

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 24 अगस्त, 1979

सं 0 सा 0प्र 0वि-क(ख) 6-1/78.—इस सरकार की दिनांक 10-11-1978 की समसंव्यक्त अधिसूचना का प्रसंग जारी रखते हुए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि प्रदेश भर में हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार के समस्त सार्वजनिक कार्यालयों में दिनांक 25-8-1979 शनिवार को "ईदुल-फितर" के उपलक्ष में सार्वजनिक छुट्टी मनाई जायेगी ।

2. यह छुट्टी निगोशियेबल इन्स्ट्रूमेंट ऐक्ट, 1881 की धारा 25 के अन्तर्गत भी होगी ।

एल. एच. तोच्छांग,
मुख्य सचिव ।

TOURISM DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Simala-171002, the 24th July, 1979

No. 5-27/71-TD (Sectt).—In continuation of this Department notification of even number, dated the 31st May, 1979 the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to order that the amenities at serial No. 1 and 4 of the aforesaid notification shall be read as under:—

1. Rent free semi-furnished accommodation which will be provided by the Corporation or Rs. 400/- as allowance in lieu thereof.
2. Travelling allowance, daily allowances may be admissible to a member of the Vidhan Sabha.

The Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is further pleased to order that Shri Radha Raman Shashtri shall hold office of the Chairman, Himachal Pradesh Tourism Development Corporation, Ltd., for three years.

B. C. NEGI,
Secretary.

भाग 2—वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि

**Office of the District Magistrate Bilaspur
FDS (BRICKS), 79**

NOTIFICATION

Bilaspur, the 22nd August, 1979

No. FDS (Bricks)/79-5395-5445.—In pursuance of the instructions received from the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide memo. No. FDS. H (F) (10)-6/76-18671-76, dated 2-8-1979, from the Director Food and Supplies, Himachal Pradesh and in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under clause 10 of the Himachal Pradesh Bricks (Control) Order, 1970, I. S. R. Bhardwaj, District Magistrate Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh hereby fix the following maximum rates of categorised burnt Bricks Manufactured out of non-sponsored coal by the Brick Kiln owners in Bilaspur district Himachal Pradesh as per specification shown in the Schedule below:—

Specification of Bricks	Size of Bricks	Maximum rates of Bricks manufactured with non-sponsored coal per thousand
1st Class	9"×4-3/8"×3	Rs. 270
2nd Class	-do-	Rs. 220
3rd Class	-do-	Rs. 190

1. (i) These rates shall be ex-Kiln site and exclusive of G.S.T. and Royalty.

(ii) The Brick Kiln owners shall maintain separate register for the production and sale of Bricks of 1st, 2nd and 3rd Class Bricks.

(iii) No Brick Kiln owners/consumers shall sell or purchase bricks without a permit issued by the District Magistrate/District Food and Supplies Controller, Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh.

2. Class-wise specification of bricks is given below:—
1st Class Bricks:

These shall be made from good earth, Free from saline deposits and shall be sand moulded. These shall be thoroughly burnt without being verified of good colour, regular and uniform in shape and size with sharp and Square rises and paralld faces. These must be homogenous in texture and omit clear ringing sound when struck. These shall be free from flaws, chips cracks, stones, nodules of lime and kankar. A first class brick shall not absorb more than 1/6th of its weight of water after being soaked for one hour, and shall show no signs of effervescence on drying.

2nd Class Bricks:

Second class bricks shall be as burnt as first class of slightly overburnt but not vitrified in any part and

must give a clear ringing sound when struck. In this class of bricks, slight irregularities in size, shape and colour will be accepted but not such as to give irregular of uneven course when used. Second class bricks may have slight chips of flaws but must free from lime and kankar of nodules. These shall not absorb more than 1/4th of their weight of water after one hour's immersion and shall show no sign of effervescence on drying.

3rd Class Bricks:

These bricks need not be fully burnt as first or second class but must be burnt to a radish, yellow colour throughout. The defects in uniformity or shape must not such as to cause difficulty in obtaining uniform course with their use.

3. Each bricks shall have a frog or rectangular shape and 1/4" deep on the upper face and where in the manufacture of bricks shall emboss the abbreviation of the name of bricks kiln owner, such identification mark as approved by the District Magistrate/Licensing authority and this mark could be legible and year of manufacturing of bricks.

4. No person acting on this behalf or through his employees or any agent shall sell or attempt to sell, purchase or attempt to purchase burnt bricks at the rates higher than those fixed as above.

5. Every manufacturer/dealer in bricks shall issue cash memo. to every customer giving his own name and address and licence number, date of transaction, rate per thousand bricks and total amount charged, complete address of customers and shall keep a duplicate record of it to be available for inspection on demand by the Licensing authority or any other officer authorised by the Government of Himachal Pradesh in this behalf. Signature of the customers on duplicate copy of cash memo/bills in token of having received supply of bricks prescribed specification, shall be obtained.

6. Every manufacture of bricks/dealers in bricks BKO's shall maintain a current accounts of receipt/disposal of bricks in the prescribed register which should duly page marked and authenticated from the local Inspector Food and Supplies and should keep the entire record at the kiln site at all time for the inspection.

7. No bricks kiln owners shall refuse to sell, supply bricks to any customer who is in possession of permit issued by the competent authority.

8. No brick-kiln owners shall manufacture bricks out of the non-sponsored coal when sponsor coal is available for manufacturing bricks and till the sponsored coal is exhausted and permission is not obtained from the Licensing authority.

9. This order shall come into force with immediate effect throughout Bilaspur district, Himachal Pradesh.

S. R. BHARDWAJ,
District Magistrate.

लाघ एवं आपूर्ति विभाग बिलासपुर

आदेश

बिलासपुर, 30 अगस्त, 1979

संख्या एफ0 डी0 एस0/79-5551-5585.—पिछले सभी आदेशों के अधिकरण व मिट्टी तेल (फिक्सेशन आफ सीलिंग प्राईस आदेश, 1970 की धारा के अन्तर्गत, मैं सीता राम भारद्वाज जिला दण्डाधिकारी, बिलासपुर, हिमाचल प्रदेश यह आदेश करता हूँ कि कोई भी मिट्टी तेल का थोक व परचून व्यापारी निम्न निर्धारित भाव से अधिक नहीं बेच सकता है:—

क्रमांक	स्थान का नाम	थोक मूल्य प्रति लीटर बिना विक्री कर के	परचून मूल्य प्रति लीटर विक्री कर सहित
1.	स्वारघाट	1.61	1.77
2.	श्री नैना देवी जी	1.65	1.82
3.	बसी	1.62	1.79
4.	तरसूह/गबालथार्ड	1.62	1.79
5.	माकड़ी	1.64	1.81
6.	बिजासपुर	1.63	1.80
7.	बैरी	1.64	1.81
8.	नम्होल	1.64	1.81
9.	धुमारवी (नसबाल) पट्टा व जुवाला	1.65	1.82
10.	गेहड़वी	1.65	1.82
11.	भराडी	1.66	1.83
12.	तलाई	1.67	1.84
13.	कुठेडा	1.67	1.84
14.	बठौ	1.65	1.82
15.	दसलेहड़ा	1.67	1.84
16.	संडूता	1.67	1.84
17.	दबौल	1.66	1.83

उपरोक्त परचून भाव सभी प्रकार के कर, चुनौ, किराया तथा कमिशन इत्यादि खास सहित है।

ऊपर लिखित स्थानों के इलावा अन्य स्थानों के दुकानदार केवल किराया नबंदी को थोक केन्द्र से दुकान तक ले सकता है।

प्रत्येक मिट्टी के तेल का व्यापारी मिट्टी तेल की खरीद व विक्री का पूरा हिस्सा बंटा रखेगा तथा यदि कोई दुकानदार उक्त आदेश का उल्लंघन करता पकड़ा जायेगा तो उसके विरुद्ध आवश्यक वस्तु अधिनियम 1955 (10 मार्च 1958) के नियम 7 के अन्तर्गत कार्यवाही की जावेगी।

विक्री की थोक मात्रा 18.5 लीटर या उससे अधिक मानी जावेगी। यह आदेश बिलासपुर जिला में तुरन्त पबू होगा।

सीता राम भारद्वाज,
जिला दण्डाधिकारी, बिलासपुर।

कार्यालय जिला दण्डाधिकारी, जिला किन्नौर, कल्पा

* अधिसूचना

कल्पा, 6 अगस्त, 1979

नं० 1567.—हिमाचल प्रदेश जमाखोरी तथा मुनाफा-खोरी निरोधक आदेश 1977 (The Himachal Pradesh Hoarding and Profiteering Prevention Order 1977) के अनुच्छेद 3 (1) डी0 के अन्तर्गत दी गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए तथा इस कार्यालय द्वारा जारी किये गये पूरक आदेश संख्या के० एन० आर० एफ० डी० ए० 8-1/77/362-54 दिनांक 12 अगस्त, 1977 की अनुवृत्ति में, मैं, आर० सी० शर्मा जिला दण्डाधिकारी, जिला किन्नौर, कल्पा (हि० प्र०) निम्नलिखित वस्तुओं के लिए अधिकतम लाने की सीमा जो व्यापारी ले सकता है का निर्धारण निम्न प्रकार करता हूँ।

यह आदेश सारे किन्नौर जिला में तुरन्त लागू होगा।

क्रमांक वस्तु का नाम अधिकतम थोक लाभ परचून विक्री के लिए की सीमा अधिकतम लाभ सीमा

(22)	आयात पुस्तकें	3 %	6 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(23)	ऊनी होजरी	4 %	6 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(24)	दुनाई की ऊन	8 %	6 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(25)	मोमवत्तियां	4 %	8 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(26)	ऊनी टैक्सटायल	4 %	8 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(27)	भांस/मुर्गा/मछली	—	7 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(28)	देसी घी	4 %	6 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(29)	मिठाइयां	1. खोया से बनी	7 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
		2. बिना खोया	6 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।
(30)	पका हुआ खाना	—	6 % लाभ से अधिक नहीं होगा।

आर० सी० शर्मा०
जिला दण्डाधिकारी,

जिला लाघ एवं आपूर्ति विभाग, शिमला, जिला शिमला
आदेश

शिमला-171001, 11 जुलाई, 1979

संख्या सी.एस.7-2/77-7645-7912.—पिछले सभी आदेशों के अधिकरण और मिट्टी तेल (फिक्सेशन आफ सीलिंग प्राईस) आदेश, 1970 की धारा 3 के अन्तर्गत, मैं, के० एन० कश्यप, आइ० ए० एस०, जिलाधीश, शिमला यह आदेश जारी करता हूँ कि मिट्टी का तेल शिमला में निम्नलिखित थोक व परचून मूल्यों के प्रतिरिक्त नहीं बेचा जायेगा:—

- (1) बस स्टाप (काट रोड) शिमला में मिट्टी तेल का थोक भाव 1584.19 पैसे प्रति किलो लिटर शिमला के दुकानदारों को दिया जायेगा।
- (2) बाकी जगह के व्यापारियों के लिये थोक भाव 1470.93 पैसे प्रति लिटर बिक्री कर प्रति लिटर होगा।
3. शिमला शहर के भिन्न-2 जगहों के परचून भाव निम्नलिखित होंगे :—

क्रमांक	जगह का नाम	परचून भाव प्रति लिटर
1		80
1.	बस स्टैंड का क्षेत्र	1.63
2.	छोटा शिमला, सन्जीली, कसुम्पटी, टूटू, जतांग, बालूगन्ज, इली	1.64
3.	मशोबरा	1.65
4.	लाल पानी, पशु चिकित्सालय, कृष्णा नगर और अग्रर कैथू	1.66
5.	गन्ज, लोथर बाजार, मिडल बाजार, माल रोड, सैन्ट्रल होटल, चौड़ा मैदान, मरीना होटल, नाभा हाउस, लोथर कैथू व टूटी कण्ठी	1.67
6.	फागली	1.68
7.	लक्कड़ बाजार, परम दास गार्डन तथा यू.एस.ओ. क्लब	1.69
8.	सैहनु भवन व रामनगर, खलीनी	1.70
9.	समरहिल	1.71
10.	भराड़ी	1.73

उपरोक्त शिमला के परचून भाव सारे करों के सहित हैं दूसरी जगह के व्यापारी उपर के थोक भाव (1470.93 पैसे) के अतिरिक्त हिमाचल राज्य परिवहन का काराया, स्थानीय मजदूरी 4 पैसे प्रति लिटर परचून लाभ बुंगी (अग्रर दी गई हो) कर तथा कर के ऊपर सरचार्ज व स्थानीय कर ले सकता है।

मिट्टी का तेल शिमला नगर निगम में उस स्थान पर बेचा जा सकता है जो जगह सार्डिंस में दर्ज हो, थोक व परचून बिक्रेता मिट्टी के तेल का बकाया व भाव अपनी दुकान के सामने लगायेगा और मिट्टी के तेल का क्रय विक्रय का ठीक हिसाब किताब रखेगा ताकि निरीक्षण किया जा सके।

अगर कोई बिक्रेता इस आदेश की किसी धारा का उलंघन करेगा तो उसके विरुद्ध मिट्टी तेल (फिक्सेशन ऑफ सोलिंग पराईस) आदेश, 1970 के अन्तर्गत कानूनी कार्यवाही की जायेगी।

के.एन.ओ. कश्यप,
जिलाधीश, शिमला।

पर दिनांक 15-3-1974 को कार्यालय में रिपोर्ट की कि गुजर जाति हिमाचल प्रदेश में अनुसूचित जन जाति मानी गई है। अतः क्रम संख्या 72/74 दिनांक 15-3-1974 को श्री ईश्वर दास कौशिश तत्कालीन उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, सोलन के हस्ताक्षरों, ने अनुसूचित जन जाति का प्रमाण पत्र श्री ज्ञान चन्द खटाना के हित में जारी किया गया।

2. संयुक्त सचिव (कामिक), हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार के पत्र संख्या 2-7/73 कामिक (नि-1) दिनांक 2-3-1979 की पालना हेतु मामले की पुनः छानबीन सम्बन्धित सरकार की निदेश, पत्र संख्या पी.ओ.आर.0 (ए.ओ.पी.0-II) एफ.ओ. (4) 7/75 दिनांक 16-7-1975 के अनुसार विस्तृत जांच पड़ताल की गई। जांच पड़ताल से पाया गया कि जब एक व्यक्ति एक प्रांत से दूसरे प्रांत में अपना निवास स्थान बदल ले और वह पहले प्रांत में अनुसूचित जन जाति में नहीं आता तो वह दूसरे प्रांत में भी अनुसूचित जाति अथवा जन जाति की संज्ञा में नहीं आ सकता।

पुनर्गठन से पूर्व पंजाब में गुजर जाति को अनुसूचित जन जाति की संज्ञा नहीं दी गई और यही पुनर्गठन अधिनियम में भी दर्शाया गया है। केवल वही गुजर जो कि पुराने हिमाचल के पुश्त दर पुश्त स्थाई निवासी है, ही अनुसूचित जन जाति की संज्ञा में आते हैं। श्री ज्ञान चन्द खटाना का जन्म स्थान रिकार्ड में जिला होशियारपुर, पंजाब है, जहां गुजरों की अनुसूचित जन जाति में नहीं माना जाता।

विस्तृत जांच पड़ताल के निष्कर्ष तथा उपरोक्त न्यायों के आधार पर और संयुक्त सचिव (कामिक) हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार के पत्र संख्या 2-7/73 कामिक (नि-1) दिनांक 19-5-79 के आदेशानुसार, श्री ज्ञान चन्द खटाना पुत्र श्री तुलसी राम खटाना, भूतपूर्व जिला विकास एवं पंचायत अधिकारी, मोहन, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश को क्रम संख्या 72/74 दिनांक 15-3-1974 द्वारा अनुसूचित जन जाति का प्रमाण पत्र जो कि श्री ईश्वर दास कौशिश तत्कालीन उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, सोलन द्वारा जारी किया गया था को एतद् संशोधन आदेश द्वारा रद्द किया जाता है। श्री ज्ञान चन्द खटाना को पुश्त से लिख दिया गया है कि वह उपरोक्त मूल प्रमाण पत्र इस कार्यालय को वापिस कर दें। यदि श्री ज्ञान चन्द खटाना ने उपरोक्त प्रमाण पत्र या उस की प्रतिलिपि कहीं प्रस्तुत की हो अथवा करें तो उसे अग्रान्य समझा जाएगा।

लक्ष्मण सिंह कश्यप,
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, सोलन।

कार्यालय उपायुक्त सोलन, जिला सोलन

अधिसूचना

सोलन, 16 अगस्त, 1979

कार्यालय उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, सोलन, जिला सोलन
हिमाचल प्रदेश

कार्यालय आदेश

सोलन, 3 अगस्त, 1979

पृष्ठांक संख्या-सोलन-अ.0 ट.0/79-2490-93.—श्री ज्ञान चन्द खटाना पुत्र श्री तुलसी राम भूतपूर्व जिला विकास एवं पंचायत अधिकारी, सोलन जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने दिनांक शून्य को एक प्रार्थना पत्र श्री ईश्वर दास कौशिश तत्कालीन उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, सोलन के समक्ष अनुसूचित जन जाति (गुजर) का प्रमाण पत्र प्राप्त करने हेतु प्रस्तुत किया जिस

संख्या सोलन-12-36(वि.0/72-19887-90.—हिमाचल प्रदेश ग्राम पंचायत नियम 1971 के नियम 10 (2) के अन्तर्गत ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा विकास खण्ड कण्डाघाट का मुख्यावास ग्राम गौड़ा में निश्चित किया जाता है।

मुनीता मुखर्जी,
उपायुक्त सोलन।

Office of the Deputy Commissioner Kangra

CORRIGENDUM

Kangra, the 22nd August, 1979

No. ASCO. PLP. DB. 9/78-8852-53.—The Soil Conservation scheme having following particulars was approved by the District Land Development Committee, Kangra in its meeting held on 28-9-1978 under the Chairmanship of Deputy Commissioner, Kangra at Dharamsala, at S. No. 42 of the approved list and subsequently published in Himachal Pradesh Government Rajpatra No. 48 Vol. 26, dated 2-12-1978 at page 1375 at S. No. 42.

The name of the Village in column No. 4 against this Sl. No. and scheme No. PLP-P. 78/KNG/78-79. Nallah Training works may please be read as "Palampur", instead of "Chimbal har" other particulars remain unchanged.

Sd/-
Chairman,

District Land Development Committee,
Kangra.

कार्यालय पंजीयक, सहकारी सभायें (प्राथमिक) चम्बा जिला, चम्बा
कार्यालय आदेश

चम्बा, 19 जून, 1979

संस्था सह-विषयन/2612-14.—"दि बकरोटा बैटर फार्मिंग को-ऑपरेटिव सोसाइटी, लिमिटेड, बकरोटा" के विवरण की रिपोर्ट सभा को अन्तिम रूप में विवरित किये जाने विषयक के बारे में प्रवक्ताओं से यह पाया गया कि सभा के पंजीकरण को रद्द करके सभा को अन्तिम रूप में विवरित किया जाना चाहिये।

प्रतः मैं, बम पाल, पंजीयक, सहकारी सभायें (प्राथमिक) चम्बा जिला, चम्बा, हिमाचल प्रदेश सहकारी सभायें अधिनियम, 1968 (अधिनियम संस्था 3, 1969) को धारा 83(2) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए "दि बकरोटा बैटर फार्मिंग को-ऑपरेटिव सोसाइटी, लिमिटेड, बकरोटा" के पंजीकरण को रद्द करता हूँ।

बम पाल,
पंजीयक।

Office of the District Co-operative and Supplies Officer, Hamirpur

OFFICE ORDERS

Hamirpur, the 18th June, 1979

No. HMP/RK-1/3279-82.—Whereas the Tal Oil and Soap Production Co-operative Industrial Society Ltd., P.O. Tal, Tehsil and District, Hamirpur was placed under liquidation vide this office order No. 2368-71/HMP, dated 10-7-1969 and Sub-Inspector, Co-operative Societies, Bhoranj was appointed its liquidator under section 79 of the Himachal Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1968 (Act No. 3 of 1969).

Whereas the Sub-Inspector, Co-operative Societies, Bhoranj has been transferred and to expedite the liquidation work it is considered necessary to appoint another liquidator.

Now, therefore, I, Narinder Singh District Co-operative and Supplies Officer, Hamirpur (Assistant Registrar,

Co-operative Societies) exercising the powers of the Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Himachal Pradesh, under section 79 vested in me appoint Shri Bidhi Chand Sub-Inspector Co-operative Societies, Patta as liquidator. His appointment shall be subject to the terms and conditions mentioned below:—

1. He is allowed to keep on cash in hand not more than Rs. 20 (Rupees twenty only) for the meeting expenses of liquidation all money over and above this amount shall be credited by him into the Kangra Central Co-operative Bank Branch Ltd., Bhoranj.
2. He shall be personally liable for having made any payment contrary to the Act, Rules or bye-laws or for having caused any deficiency in the assets of the society by breach of trust or wilful negligence or have mis-appropriated or fraudulently retaining any money or other property belonging to the society under winding up.

Hamirpur, the 18th June, 1979

No. HMP/RK-1/3283-86.—Whereas the Bagwar Co-operative Agriculture Service society Ltd., P.O. Nagrota, Tehsil and District, Hamirpur was placed under liquidation vide this office order No. 5533-36/HMP, dated 29-6-1971 and Sub-Inspector (Audit, Bhoranj was appointed its liquidator under section 79 of the Himachal Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1968 (Act No. 3 of 1969).

Whereas the Sub-Inspector, Co-operative Societies (Audit) has been promoted as Auditor and to expedite the liquidation work, it is considered necessary to appoint another liquidator.

Now, therefore, I, Narinder Singh District Co-operative and Supplies Officer, Hamirpur (Assistant Registrar, Co-operative Societies) exercising the powers of Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Himachal Pradesh under section 79 vested in me appoint Shri Kali Kumar, Sub-Inspector, Co-operative Societies Bharoni as liquidator. His appointment shall be subject to the terms and conditions mentioned below:—

1. He is allowed to keep cash in hand not more than Rs. 20 (Rupees twenty only) for the meeting expenses of liquidation, all money over and above this amount shall be credited by him into the Kangra Central Co-operative Bank Branch, Bhoranj.
2. He shall be personally liable for having made any payment contrary to the Act, Rules or bye-laws or for having caused any deficiency in the assets of the society by breach of trust or wilful negligence or have mis-appropriated or fraudulently retaining any money or other property belonging to the society under winding up.

NARINDER SINGH,
Assistant Registrar.

वन, खेती एवं पर्यावरण संरक्षण विभाग मुन्दरनगर वन मण्डल
मुन्दरनगर

अधिसूचना

मुन्दरनगर, 2 जुलाई, 1979

संख्या स-14-24/1/79.—क्योंकि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार ने हिमाचल प्रदेश भू परिरक्षण अधिनियम, 1978 की धारा 4 के अधीन, अधिसूचना संख्या 15-4/71 एस.एफ. दिनांक 13-3-1979 के अन्तर्गत मण्डी जिला के लिए नियम जारी किए हैं जिस के अनुसार निजी भूमियों के मालिक अपनी घरेलू व खेती की आवश्यकता के लिए एक वर्ष में अपनी निजी भूमि से 5 वृक्ष बिना विभागीय आज्ञा के, 10 वृक्ष सम्बन्धित वन रेंज अधिकारी की आज्ञा से और 10 से अधिक वृक्ष वन मण्डलाधिकारी की आज्ञा से काट सकेंगे।

तथा

क्योंकि यह आवश्यक हो गया है कि इन नियमों का पालन करने हेतु प्रत्येक व्यक्ति द्वारा निजी भूमि से जो भी वृक्ष काटे जाने हैं उनका पूरा रिकार्ड रखा जाए।

अतः इस अधिसूचना द्वारा यह निर्देश दिए जाते हैं कि प्रत्येक भूमि स्वामी जब कभी 5 वृक्षों की सीमा तक अपनी निजी भूमि से घरेलू प्रयोग के लिए वृक्ष काटेगा तो वह इसकी सूचना अपने इलाके के क्षेत्रीय बीट गाई को देगा तथा इससे अधिक वृक्ष उमने काटने हो तो उपरोक्त अधिकारियों से वह अनुमति लेगा। भू-स्वामियों के लिए यह बाध्य होगा कि यदि उन्होंने अपने ऐसे रकबों में से वृक्ष काटने हों जो सरकारी जमीन/वन के साथ लगते हों तो इसकी उन्हें काटने से पूर्व राजस्व/वन विभाग से निशानदेही प्राप्त करनी होगी।

यह भी निर्देश दिए जाते हैं कि प्रत्येक क्षेत्रीय बीट गाई अपने इलाके में घरेलू आवश्यकता के लिए निजी भूमि में जो वृक्ष काटेंगे उनका वह पूरा रिकार्ड अपने रजिस्टर में रखेगा। जो वृक्ष वन रेंज अधिकारी व वन मण्डलाधिकारी की आज्ञा में भी घरेलू व खेती की आवश्यकता के लिए काटेंगे उनका इन्दराज में इस रजिस्टर में होगा। इस रजिस्टर को पड़ताल सम्बन्धित न्यायिक अधिकारी समय-समय पर किया करेंगे।

अतः यह निर्देश विस्तृत प्रचार हेतु जारी किए जाते हैं।

दि 04/07/मान,
वन मण्डल अधिकारी।

INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 24 OF THE ACT

Whereas a notice was served on Shri Hari Singh s/o Shri Gania, Village Kangroo, Tehsil and District Hamirpur, on 23-5-79 under section 23/27 of the H.P. state Aid to Industries Act, 1971 calling upon the said Shri Hari Singh to pay to me the sum of Rs. 54 with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from 7-3-79 till date of final payment and whereas the said sum has not been paid in full, I hereby declare that the sum of Rs. 486 with further interest thereon at the rate 9½ per cent per annum from till date of final payment is due from the said Shri Hari Singh and that the property described in the attached schedule is liable for the satisfaction of the said debt.

SCHEDULE

All assets present and to be hereinafter acquired by the loanee whether the said assets are present or in future in his name including book debts, stocks, shares premises and machinery and purchased with the aid of loan or a part thereof and any other personal security of the loanee.

Sd/-

General Manager District Industries Centre,
Hamirpur, (H.P.);

भाग 3—अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, काँग्रेस कानून और कानून और इन्डियन टेक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित अधिसूचित इत्यादि

कानून विभाग (प्रशिक्षण)

अधिसूचनाएं

फैयर लॉज, शिमला—12, 26 जून, 1979

संख्या हिप्पा(स्थापना) 10/73.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश भारतीय संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तु के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों तथा अन्य सभी शक्तियों जिनके द्वारा उन्हें इस सम्बन्ध में सशक्त किया गया है, का प्रयोग करते हुए हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक प्रशासन संस्थान तृतीय श्रेणी कर्मचारी सेवा नियम, 1973 जो इस विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या 2-9/73/डो.पी. (नियुक्ति-II) दिनांक 30-11-1973 द्वारा अधिसूचित किए गए थे, में निम्न संशोधन सहित करते हैं:—

1. शीर्ष विस्तार और प्रारम्भ.—(1) इन नियमों को हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक प्रशासन संस्थान तृतीय श्रेणी कर्मचारी सेवा (नवा संशोधन) नियम, 1979 कहा जाये।

(2) ये नियम तुरन्त प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. नियम 2 का संशोधन.—हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक प्रशासन संस्थान तृतीय श्रेणी कर्मचारी सेवा नियम, 1973 (जो इस में इसके पश्चात् उक्त नियम के नाम से निर्दिष्ट हैं) के नियम 2 की वर्तमान (एल0) और (पी0) उप-नियमों के स्थान पर निम्न उप-नियमों (एल0) और (पी) प्रतिस्थापित की जाए अप्रति:—

(L) "Ex-servicemen" means released Indian Armed Forces Personnel.]

(P) "Transfer" means appointment to the service of a person holding an equivalent post in another Department of the Government.

नियम 8 का संशोधन.—उक्त नियमों के नियम 8 की उप-नियम (बी0) "Service Record and गर्नों के उपरान्त "/or" चिन्ह और शब्द निकाल दिए जायें।

हस्ताक्षर,
उप-सचिव।

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

'A' SECTION

NOTIFICATION

Simla-2, the 27th August, 1979

No. 1-17/75-PW 'A'.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to make the following amendment in the Recruitment and Promotion Rules in respect of the post of Executive Engineer (Civil) notified vide this Government Notification of even number, dated the 5th April, 1973 by amending Rule 11 in Annexure I as under:—

Rule 11:

By promotion.—In the ratio of 30:70 from amongst Diploma-holder Assistant Engineers and Graduate Assistant Engineers with 8 years regular or *ad hoc* service or both in the grade.

By deputation.—Of officers of equivalent grades under the State or other State Governments or under the Government of India.

The vacancies will be filled up in the following roster:—

- 1st post—Graduate Assistant Engineer.
- 2nd post—Graduate Assistant Engineer.
- 3rd post—Diploma-holder Assistant Engineer.
- 4th post—Graduate Assistant Engineer.
- 5th post—Graduate Assistant Engineer.
- 6th post—Diploma-holder Assistant Engineer.
- 7th post—Graduate Assistant Engineer.
- 8th post—Graduate Assistant Engineer.
- 9th post—Diploma holder Assistant Engineer
- 10th post—Graduate Assistant Engineer.

(*Note.*)—This roster is to be repeated after every 10th vacancy).

In all cases where a junior person becomes eligible for consideration by virtue of his total length of service (including *ad hoc* one) in the feeder post, all persons senior to him in the respective category shall be deemed to be eligible for consideration and placed above junior official in the field of consideration:

Provided that all incumbents to be considered for confirmation/promotion should possess the minimum qualifying service of at least 3 years or that prescribed in these rules, whichever is less:

Provided further that where a person becomes ineligible to be considered for promotion/confirmation on account of the requirement prescribed in the preceding proviso, the person(s) junior to him shall also be deemed to be ineligible for consideration for such promotion/confirmation.

B. C. NEGI,

Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

RURAL INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-2, the 18th August, 1979

No. RID-I-B(14)6/78.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to make the following Rules to amend the H.P. R.I.D. Class—III Services Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions of Service) Rules, 1963 notified *vide* this Department's notification No. D 6-45 (Estt.) 58, dated the 10th February, 1964 as amended subsequently, namely:—

1. Short title and commencement.—(i) These rules may be called the H.P.R.I.D. Class III Services (Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions of Service) (8th Amendment) Rules, 1978.

(ii) These rules shall come into force with immediate effect.

AMENDMENTS

2. Amendments of sub-rule 6(3), 6(12) and 6(40).—The existing sub-rules (3), (12) and (40) of rule 6 of the Planning and Development Class III Service (Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions) Rules, 1963 shall be substituted as under:—

- (i) **Amendment of sub-rule 6(3)—Assistants (225—500):**
Direct .. 10%
By Promotion from amongst the Accountants, Progress Assistants (Block Offices) and Stenographers (Hqrs.) after combining their Seniority on the basis of Seniority subject to rejection of the unfit .. 90%.

Amendment to rule 6 (12)—Head Clerks (225—500):
Direct .. 10%

Remaining 90% by promotion from the categories of Accountants, Progress Assistants (in the blocks) and Stenographers (Hqrs.) after combining their Seniority on the basis of Seniority subject to rejection of the unfit.

Amendment to rule 6 (40) Statistical Assistant (200—500).

By promotion on the basis of Seniority subject to rejection of the unfit from Progress Assistants in the Blocks.

Simla-2, 'the' 18th August, 1979

No. RID-I-A(3)1/78.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following rules to amend further the Planning and Development Department Class-III Services (Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions of Service) [Rules, 1963 notified *vide* this Departments' Notification No. D-6-45 (Estt.) 58, dated the 10th February, 1964 as amended subsequently, namely:—

1. Short title and commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh Rural Integrated Development Department Class-III (Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions of Service) (Tenth Amendment) Rules, 1979.

(2) These rules shall come into force immediately.

2. Amendment to rule 6(13) of the said rules.—The existing sub-rule (13) of rule 6 of the Planning and Development Department Class-III Service (Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions of Service) Rules, 1963 shall be substituted as under:—

Progress Assistant (160—400)

- (i) 50% by promotion from amongst Clerks and Steno-Typists (a joint seniority list on the basis of their date of joining will be prepared for the purposes of this promotion) on the basis of seniority subject to rejection of unfit.
- (ii) 50% from amongst Gram Sewaks on the basis of seniority subject to rejection of unfit.

A NANG PAL,
Secretary.

TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Simla-171002, the 13th August, 1979

No. 2-22/76-TPT.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, is pleased to make the Recruitment and Promotion Rules in respect of Class III (Ministerial) Services for the post of Head Assistant in Himachal Pradesh Transport Department as in Annexure-I.

These rules shall come into force with immediate effect.

The rules already notified vide No. 5-41/72-TPT., dated 10-12-1973 are hereby repealed; provided that such repeal shall not effect the previous operation of the said rules anything done or any action taken thereunder.

ANNEXURE I

RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION RULES FOR THE POST OF HEAD ASSISTANT IN THE TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT, H.P.

1. Name of the post Head Assistant
2. No. of posts One
3. Classification Class III (non-gazetted).
4. Scale of pay Rs. 300—550.
5. Whether selection or non-selection post. Non-selection.
6. Age for direct recruits Between 18 years and 27 years.
7. Minimum educational and other qualifications required for direct recruits.

Essential:

 - (i) Graduate of a recognised University or its equivalent.
 - (ii) 4 years experience of office work.

Desirable:

 - (i) Knowledge of Motor Vehicle Act and Rules.
 - (ii) Knowledge of customs, manners and dialects of Himachal Pradesh and suitability for appointment in the peculiar conditions prevailing in the Pradesh.
8. Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruits will apply in case of promotees. No
9. Period of probation, if any. 2 years subject to such further extension for a period not exceeding one year as may be ordered by the competent authority in special circumstances and for reasons to be reduced in writing.

10. Method of recruitment, whether by direct recruitment or by promotion, deputation/transfer and the percentage of vacancies to be filled by various methods. By promotion failing which by direct recruitment.

11. In case of recruitment by promotion, deputation/transfer, grade from which promotion/deputation/transfer to be made. By promotion from amongst Assistants/Stenographers in the pay scale of Rs. 225—600 and Assistant Secretary in the pay scale of Rs. 200—450 with at least three years regular or *ad hoc* service or both as such; (for purpose of promotion a combined list of Assistants and Stenographers will be prepared based on length of service in the grade and the Assistant Secretary will be placed below these two categories).
12. If a D.P.C. exists, what is its composition. Class-III D.P.C. as may be constituted by the Government from time to time.
13. Circumstances in which the H.P.P.S.C. is to be consulted in making recruitment. As required under the law.

Foot-notes:

1. Upper age limit for direct recruits will not be applicable to the candidates already in the service of the Government.
2. Upper age limit is relaxable for Scheduled Castes/Tribes candidates and other categories of persons to the extent permissible under the general or special orders of the H.P. Government.
3. Age and qualifications in case of direct recruits, relaxable at the discretion of the Commission in case of candidates otherwise well qualified.
4. Provisions of Col. 10 and 11 are to be revised by the Government in consultation with the H.P. Public Service Commission as and when the number of posts under Col. 2 are increased or decreased.
5. Age limit for direct recruits will be reckoned from the last date fixed for receipt of applications by the Commission.
6. Where the Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to do so, it may by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the H.P. Public Service Commission relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of person or post.
7. Selection for appointment in case of direct recruitments, shall be made on the basis of a *rigor* test, if the Commission so considers necessary or expedient, by a written test, the standard/syllabus etc. of which will be determined by the Commission on a practical test.
8. In all cases where a junior person becomes eligible for consideration by virtue of his total length of service (including *ad hoc* ems in the feeder post, all persons senior to him in the respective category.

shall be eligible for consideration and placed above the junior official in the field of consideration:

Provided that all incumbents to be considered for promotion/confirmation should possess the minimum qualifying service of at least three years or that prescribed in these rules for the post, whichever is less:

Provided further that where a person becomes ineligible to be considered for promotion/confirmation on account of the requirement prescribed in the preceding proviso, the person(s) junior to him shall also be deemed to be ineligible for consideration for such promotion/confirmation.

9. The appointments to this service shall be subject to orders regarding reservation in the service for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Backward Classes issued by the Himachal Pradesh Government from time to time.
10. The employees of all the public sector corporations and autonomous bodies who happened to be government servants before absorption in public sector corporations/autonomous bodies at the time of initial constitution of such corporation/autonomous bodies shall be allowed age-concession in direct recruitment as admissible to Government servants. This concession will not, however, be admissible to such staff of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies who are/were finally absorbed in the service of such corporation/autonomous bodies after the initial constitution of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies.
11. A candidate for appointment to any service or post must be,—
 - (a) a citizen of India, or
 - (b) a subject of Nepal, or
 - (c) a subject of Bhutan, or
 - (d) a Tibetan refugee who came over to India before the 1st January, 1962 with the intention of permanently settling in India, or
 - (e) a person of Indian origin who has migrated from Pakistan, Burma, Sri Lanka, East African countries of Kenya, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania (formerly Tanganyika and Zanzibar), Zambia, Malawi, Zaire and Ethiopia with the intention of permanently settling in India;

Provided that a candidate belonging to categories (b), (c), (d) and (e) shall be a person in whose favour a certificate of eligibility has been issued by the Government of India/State Government.

A candidate in whose case a certificate of eligibility is necessary may be admitted to an examination or interview conducted by the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission or other recruiting authority, but the offer of appointment may be given only after the necessary eligibility certificate has been issued to him by the Government of India/Government of H.P.

Simla-171002, the 13th August, 1979

No. 2-22/76-TPT.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, is pleased to make the Recruitment and Promotion Rules in

respect of Class II Services for the post of Office Superintendent in Himachal Pradesh Transport Department as in Annexure I.

These rules shall come into force with immediate effect.

The rules already notified vide No. 2-24/74 TPT., dated 8-1-1974 are hereby repealed; provided that such repeal shall not effect the previous operation of the said rules or anything done or any action taken thereunder.

ANNEXURE I

RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION RULES FOR THE POST OF SUPERINTENDENT TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH

1. Name of the post Superintendent
2. No. of posts One
3. Classification Class II (Gazetted)
4. Scale of pay Rs. 500—900
5. Whether selection or non-selection post. Selection
6. Age for direct recruits 40 years and below.
7. Minimum educational and other qualifications *Essential:*
 - (i) Graduate of a recognised University or its equivalent.
 - (ii) Five years experience of office work.*Desirable*—Knowledge of customs, manners and dialects of Himachal Pradesh and suitability for appointment in the peculiar conditions prevailing in the Pradesh.
8. Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruits will apply in case of promotees. No
9. Period of probation, if any. 2 years subject to such further extension for a period not exceeding one year as may be ordered by the competent authority in special circumstances and for reasons to be reduced in writing.
10. Method of recruitment, whether by direct recruitment or by promotion, deputation/transfer and the percentage of vacancies to be filled by various methods. By promotion failing which by direct recruitment.
11. In case of recruitment, by promotion, deputation/transfer, grade from which promotion/deputation/transfer to be made. *By promotion from amongst:*
 - (i) Head Assistant with 6 years regular or *ad hoc* service or both as Head Assistant/Assistant/Sr. Scale Stenographer (225—500) combined, and
 - (ii) Assistants/Sr. Scale Stenographer (225—500) with 6 years regular or *ad hoc* service or both as such.

(for purpose of promotion a combined seniority of Assistants/Sr. Scale Stenographers (225-500) will be prepared based on length of service in the grade, *inter-se-seniority* of each category not to be disturbed. Head Assistant shall be placed en bloc above the Assistants/Stenographers.

If a D.P.C. exists, what is its composition.

D.P.C. to be presided over by the Chairman of the H.P.P.S.C. or a Member thereof to be nominated by him. As required under the Law.

Circumstances in which the H.P.P.S.C. is to be consulted in making recruitment.

Notes:

1. Upper age limit for direct recruits will not be applicable to the candidates already in the service of the Government.
2. Upper age limit is relaxable for Sch. Castes/Tribes candidates and other categories of persons to the extent permissible under the general or special orders of the H.P. Government.
3. Age and qualifications in case of direct recruits, relaxable at the discretion of the Commission in case of candidates otherwise well qualified.
4. Provisions of Col. 10 and 11 are to be revised by the Government in consultation with the H.P. Public Service Commission as and when the number of posts under Col. 2 are increased or decreased.
5. Age limit for direct recruits will be reckoned from the last date fixed for receipt of applications by the Commission.
6. Where the Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to do so, it may by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the H.P. Public Service Commission relax any of the provisions of these rules with respect to any class or category of persons or post.
7. Selection for appointment in case of direct recruitments, shall be made on the basis of *viva voce* test, if the Commission so considers necessary or expedient, by a written test, the standard/syllabus etc. of which will be determined by the Commission or a practical test.
8. In all cases where a junior person becomes eligible for consideration by virtue of his total length of service (including *ad-hoc* one) in the feeder post, all persons senior to him in the respective category shall be eligible for consideration and placed above the junior official in the field of consideration:

Provided that all incumbents to be considered for promotion/confirmation should possess the minimum qualifying service of at least three years or that prescribed in these rules for the post, whichever is less:

Provided further that where a person becomes ineligible to be considered for promotion/confirmation on account of the requirement prescribed in the

preceding proviso, the person(s) junior to him shall also be deemed to be ineligible for consideration for such promotion/confirmation.

9. The appointments to this service shall be subject to orders regarding reservation in the service for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Backward Classes issued by the Himachal Pradesh Government from time to time.
10. The employees of all the public sector corporations and autonomous bodies who happened to be government servants before absorption in public sector corporations/autonomous bodies at the time of initial constitution of such corporation/autonomous bodies shall be allowed age-concession in direct recruitment as admissible to Government servants. This concession will not, however, be admissible to such staff of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies who are/were finally absorbed in the service of such corporation/autonomous bodies after the initial constitution of the public sector corporations/autonomous bodies.
11. A candidate for appointment to any service or post must be—
 - (a) a citizen of India, or
 - (b) a subject to Nepal, or
 - (c) a subject of Bhutan, or
 - (d) a Tibetan refugee who came over to India before the 1st January, 1962 with the intention of permanently settling in India, or
 - (e) a person of Indian origin who has migrated from Pakistan, Burma, Sri Lanka, East African countries of Kenya, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania (formerly Tanganyika and Zanzibar), Zambia, Malawi, Zaire and Ethiopia with the intention of permanently settling in India:

Provided that a candidate belonging to categories (b), (c), (d) and (e) shall be a person in whose favour a certificate of eligibility has been issued by the Govt. of India/State Government.

A candidate in whose case a certificate of eligibility is necessary may be admitted to an examination or interview conducted by the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission or other recruiting authority but the offer of appointment may be given only after the necessary eligibility certificate has been issued to him by the Government of India/Government of Himachal Pradesh.

Departmental Examination:

- (i) Every member of the service shall pass a departmental examination as prescribed in the Departmental Examination. Rules within the probation period or within two years from the notification of these rules whichever is later failing which he shall not be eligible to:—
 - (a) cross the efficiency bar next due;
 - (b) confirmation in the service; and
 - (c) promotion to the next higher post.

Provided that if a member becomes otherwise eligible for promotion, within the period mentioned above he shall be considered for promotion and if otherwise found fit shall be promoted provisionally subject to his passing the departmental examination. He may be reverted if he fails to pass the same:

Provided further that an officer who has qualified the departmental examination in whole or in part prescribed under any other rules before the notification

of these rules, shall not be required to qualify the whole or in part of the examination as the case may be:

Provided further that an officer for whom no departmental examination was prescribed prior to the notification of these rules and who has attained the age of 45 years on the 1st of March, 1976 shall not be required to qualify the departmental examination prescribed under these rules.

(ii) An officer on promotion to a higher post in his direct line of promotion shall not be required to pass the aforesaid examination if he has already passed the

same in the lower gazetted post.

(iii) The Government may, in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, grant in exceptional circumstances and for reasons to be reduced to writing exemption in accordance with the departmental examination rules to any class or category of persons from the Departmental examination in whole or in part.

Sd/-
Secretary.

भाग 4—स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन: म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाईड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION

Simla-171002, the 24th May, 1979

No. LSG-E (5)-1/75.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (f) of sub-section (1) of section 257 of the Himachal Pradesh Municipal Act, 1968 (Act No. 19 of 1968), the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to extend sections 255, 261 to 273 of the said Act to the Notified Area Committees in the Himachal Pradesh and to declare that the provisions of the aforesaid sections shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order,
ATTAR SINGH,
Secretary.

PANCHAYATI RAJ DEPARTMENT

ORDER

Simla-171002, the 30th August, 1979

No. 15-96/66-Panch-II.—The Corrigendum issued vide this Departments and of even No. Dated the 22nd June, 1979 is concerning the Correction of serial No. 5 of the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of Principal, Panchayat Training Institute (Class-II Gazetted) in the Panchayati Raj Department, is hereby cancelled.

R. L. SETH,
Under Secretary.

भाग 5—वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन

In the Court of District Judge (Shri P.L. Sharma)
Kangra Division at Dharamsala

Guardian Act Case 3 of 1979

Smt. Shedan Widow of Nasardin, resident of Jaunta, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra.

Versus

General Public.

Petition under Section 8 of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act.

To

The General Public.

Whereas the above named petitioner having applied for the grant of permission to sell the land, in the name of minor in 1/2 share of Khata No. 91 Khatauni No. 161 to 163, Khasra Nos. Kita 5 measuring 112 K 14 M. equal to 56 K. 7 M. in Jaunta Mauza Punner, Tehsil Nurpur.

Notice is hereby issued to the general public to file objections if any, against the grant of permission in favour of the petitioner on 22-9-1979 at 10 A.M. in this Court at Dharamsala.

In case no objection is received in this court on or before the above date fixed, further proceedings with regard to the grant of permission to sell land in favour of the petitioner will be taken.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 15th day of August, 1979.

Seal.

P. L. SHARMA,
District Judge.

In the Court of District Judge (Shri P.L. Sharma)
Kangra Division at Dharamsala

Guardian Act Case 11 of 1979

Kartar Singh Parmar s/o Shri Parma Nand, caste Rajput, resident of Village Panapri, P.O. Balla, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra.

Versus

General Public.

Petition under Section 8 of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act.

To

The General Public.

Whereas the above named petitioner having applied for the grant of guardianship of the person and property of minor Children of late Prem Singh Rajput, r/o Village Panapri, P.O. Balla, Tehsil Palampur.

Notice is hereby issued to the general public to file objections if any, against the grant of permission in favour of the petitioner on 17-10-1979 at 10 A.M. in this Court at Dharamsala.

In case no objection is received in this court on or before the above date fixed, further proceedings with regard to the grant of permission to sell land in favour of the petitioner will be taken.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 15th day of August, 1979.

Seal.

P. L. SHARMA,
District Judge.

In the Court of District Judge, Kangra division at Dharamsala

SUCCESSION CASE No. 8/78

Smt. Gitan Devi wd/o Jiwa Nand, r/o village Jandpur, P. O. Trehal, Tehsil Palampur, District Kangra.

Versus

General Public.

Petition under Section 372 of Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate.

To

The General Public.

Whereas the above named petitioner having applied for the grant of succession certificate for the estate of Shri Jiwa Nand Sharma s/o Nag Ram, caste Brahman, r/o Jandpur, Tehsil Palampur, who died on 24-7-1976.

Notice is hereby issued to the general public to file objection if any, against the grant of succession certificate in favour of the petitioner on 22-9-1979 at 10 A.M. in this court at Dharamsala.

In case no objection is received in this court on or before the above date fixed, further proceedings with regard to the grant of succession in favour of the petitioner will be taken.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 1st day of September, 1979.

Seal.

P. L. SHARMA,
District Judge.

In the Court of Shri S. S. Kanwar, District Judge, Simla

HMA 10-S/3 of 79

Shri Sansar Chand son of Kalu Ram .. Petitioner.

Versus

Smt. Roshani Devi d/o Shri Sohan Lal .. Respondent.

Petition under section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1976.

To

Smt. Roshni Devi d/o Shri Sohan Lal wife of Shri Sansar Chand, resident of village Bathra, Tehsil Dehra Gopipur, District Kangra (H. P.).

WHEREAS in the above cited case the petitioner has moved a petition under section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act in this court for the grant of the decree of divorce.

The notices were issued to the respondent but received back unserved. Now the court is satisfied that the respondent Smt. Roshni Devi cannot be served in the ordinary course.

The notice is hereby given to Smt. Roshni Devi above named respondent through this publication that She should come in this court personally or through some authorised agent to attend the case cited above, on 20-9-79 otherwise the case will be heard *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 4th day of September, 1979.

Seal.

S. S. KANWAR,
District Judge.

In the Court of Shri O.P. Sharma, Senior Sub-Judge, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh

CIVIL MISC. APPLICATION No. 87/78

1. Smt. Vidya Devi (w/o Megh Singh) d/o Shri Birbal, Resident of Pandoh, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi.

2. Smt. Leela Devi (w/o Megh Singh) d/o Shri Birbal, resident of Dhardli Sikari Kothi, Tehsil Banjar, District Kulu. Applicants.

Versus

General Public,

Respondent.

To

The General Public.

Application under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of a Succession Certificate.

Whereas in the above cited case the applicants Smt. Vidya Devi and Smt. Leela Devi have moved an application under the Indian Succession Act for the grant of Succession Certificate in the estate of Late Shri Birbal s/o Dilu Ram r/o of Bali Chowki, Tehsil Chachiot, District Mandi who died on 8-9-1974 in Civil Hospital at Kulu.

Notice is hereby given to the general public, kinsman, relation and other interested persons, if any of the deceased that if any body has got any objection to the grant of the said Succession Certificate, may file in this court on or before 10-10-1979 otherwise the case will be heard *ex parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 30th August, 1979.

Seal.

O. P. SHARMA,
Senior Sub-Judge.

In the Court of Senior Sub-Judge, Mandi Himachal Pradesh

CIVIL MISC. APPLICATION No. 67/1979

Nitya Nand Sharma s/o Late Shri Beas Dev, Village Banayak, Tehsil Sundernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh ... Applicant.

Versus

(ईस्तहार जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, सी0 पी0 सी0)

The General Public.

Respondent.

ब्रम्हादात श्री जसवंत सिंह तोमर, सब-जज, जोगिन्दर नगर, (कैम्प मण्डी) बिना मण्डी, हि0 प्र0

To

दिवाणी दावा नं0 156/78

The General Public.

Petition under Section 10 of the Guardian and Ward Act, 1890.

श्रीमती नाजनी देवी पत्नी जीवन लाल, जात खत्री, निवासी पालेन कलौनी मण्डी, जिला मण्डी हि0 प्र0 वादी ।

बनाम

Whereas in the above noted application the applicant has moved an application for the grant of permission to sell the land of minor share in resident of Bhojpur, Tehsil Sandenagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

श्री गंगु राम पुत्र उबो, जात राजपूत, निवासी थानोगी, ईलाका तुंग सनोर, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0 प्रतिवादी ।

The notice is hereby given to the General Public that if any kinsman or the near relative of the applicant has got any objection in grant of permission may, file the same in this court on or before 5-12-1979 afterwards no objection will be entertained.

दावा दिलायाने मु0 3400/-

Given under hand and the seal of the Court this 30th day of August, 1979.

उपरोक्त मुकदमा में प्रतिवादी श्री गंगु राम पुत्र उबो, जात राजपूत, निवासी थानोगी, ईलाका तुंग सनोर, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी हि0 प्र0, को अदालत हुआ से कई बार समन जारी किये जो कि बिना तामील प्राप्त हुए; अतः अदालत हुआ को पूरा विश्वास हो चुका है कि उपरोक्त प्रतिवादी पर साधारण तौर पर तामिल होना कठिन है ।

Seal.

O. P. SHARMA,
Senior Sub-Judge.

In the Court of Shri B.S. Chauhan, (S) Rent Controller, Simla, District Simla (Himachal Pradesh)

अतः प्रतिवादी श्री गंगु राम पुत्र उबो, जात राजपूत, निवासी थानोगी, ईलाका तुंग सनोर, तहसील सदर, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0 को बजरिया ईस्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि मिति 22-9-79 को सुबह 10 बजे मण्डी अदालत में अस्तित्व या बकालतन हाजर हो कर मुकदमा की पैरवी करे अन्यथा इसके विरुद्ध कार्यवाही एक तरफा अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.

RENT CASE No. 54/2 of 79

Shri Bhai Karam Singh through its Gen. Power of Attorney S. Narinder Singh s/o S. Karam Singh, r/o Kirtan Puri, Kaithu, Simla ..Petitioner.

आज दिनांक 27-8-79 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

जसवंत सिंह तोमर,
सब-जज, प्रथम श्रेणी ।

Versus

Shri Dharamesh D. Valecha, Q. No. 5, Kirtan Puri Cottage, Kaithu, Simla ..Respondent.

Application for eviction U/s 14 of the H.P. Urban Rent Control Act, 1971.

ईस्तहार जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, जास्ता दीवानो

व अदालत श्री जसवंत सिंह तोमर, सब-जज प्रथम श्रेणी, जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

To

मुकदमा नं0 10/79

Shri Dharamesh D. Valecha, Q. No. 5, Kirtan Puri Cottage, Kaithu, Simla.

श्री कंशी राम पुत्र दिवाना राम, जाति ब्राह्मण, निवासी बनोण ईलाका नर, तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0 वादी ।

बनाम

Whereas, in the above noted case, it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the above noted respondent Shri Dharamesh D. Valecha, cannot be served in an ordinary way of service. Hence this proclamation u/o 5, Rule 20, C.P.C. is hereby issued against him to appear in this court on 16-10-1979 at 10 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which an ex parte proceeding shall be taken against him.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 1st day of September, 1979.

Seal.

B. S. CHAUHAN,
Rent Controller.

1. श्री कल्याण चन्द पुत्र श्याम दास मारकत सी0 जैना मल सन्त राम मारण्डा, तहसील पालमपुर, जिला कांगड़ा हि0 प्र0
2. मु0 मुदशनी देवी पुत्री श्याम दास पत्नी देस राज सूद दुकानदार, मोजा रङ्ग तहसील पालमपुर, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0,
3. श्रीमती कौशल्या देवी पत्नी श्रीम प्रकाश सूद निवासी जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, 4. संसार चन्द सूद पुत्र श्याम दास इन्टरनल आडिटर एच0 पी0 एस0 इ0 बी0 हमीरपुर, 5. श्री श्रीम प्रकाश पुत्र श्याम दास सूद हेड कलर्क बिनबा प्रोजेक्ट वैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0) प्रतिवादीगण ।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा में प्रतिवादी नम्बर 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 को इस न्यायालय से कई बार समन कराये तामील जारी किये गये जो बिना तामील ही वापिस इस न्यायालय में मौसूल हुए जिससे न्यायालय हजा को पूर्ण विश्वास हो गया है कि उक्त प्रतिवादीगण तामील में जानबूझ कर अवरोध कर रहे हैं जिस कारण प्रतिवादी-गणों को तामील साधारण रीति से होना कठिन है।

अतः उक्त प्रतिवादीगण नं० 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, को इस इस्तहार जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, जान्ता दिवानी द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि मिति 24-9-79 को प्रातः 10 बजे असासलतन या अपने वकील द्वारा इस न्यायालय में उपस्थित होकर मुकदमा हजा को पेश करे अन्यथा आपकी अनुपस्थिति में कार्यवाही एकपक्षीय प्रयोग में लाई जा कर मुकदमा हजा में उचित आदेश प्रदान किया जायेगा।

प्राज दिनांक 27-8-79 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर तथा मोहर न्यायालय से जारी किया गया।

मोहर। जसवन्त सिंह तोमर, सब-जज प्रथम दर्जा।

व अदालत श्री जसवन्त सिंह तोमर, सब-जज प्रथम श्रेणी, जोगिन्द्र नगर, जिला मण्डी, (हि० प्र०)

इस्तहार जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, जान्ता दिवानी

मुकदमा नं० 119/77

श्रीमती नरबदा देवी पत्नी मोहर सिंह, निवासी भनटेहर, ईलाका भगाहल, तहसील जोगिन्द्र नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०) वादीगण।

बनाम

1. श्री बृज लाल, 2. मुरारी लाल, 3. हरबन्स लाल, 4. जगदीश चन्द, 5. सोहन लाल, 6. प्रकाश चन्द पुत्र ईन्दर देव, 7. श्रीमती विद्या देवी, पत्नी प्रकाश चन्द, 8. श्रीमती दामा पत्नी मखन लाल, 9. श्रीमती पारवती देवी बेवा प्रेम मुख, 10. फिनकू पुत्र सन्त, निवासी सतैन, ईलाका भगाहल, तहसील जोगिन्द्रनगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०) प्रतिवादीगण।

11. श्रीमती ललीता, सपुत्री मोहर सिंह, मण्डी नगर जिला मण्डी हि० प्र० तरतीब प्रतिवादीगण।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा में प्रतिवादीगण नं० 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, को अदालत हजा से कई बार समन जारी किये जोकि बिना तामील प्राप्त हुए; अतः अदालत हजा को पूरा विश्वास हो चुका है कि उपरोक्त प्रतिवादीगण पर साधारण तौर पर तामील होना कठिन है।

अतः प्रतिवादीगण 3. श्री हरबन्स लाल, 5. सोहन लाल, 6. प्रकाश चन्द पुत्र ईन्दर देव, 7. श्रीमती विद्या देवी पत्नी प्रकाश चन्द, 8. श्रीमती दामा पत्नी मखन लाल, 9. श्रीमती पारवती देवी बेवा प्रेम मुख, निवासी सतैन, ईलाका भगाहल तहसील जोगिन्द्र-नगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० और तरतीब प्रतिवादीगण नं० 11 श्रीमती ललीता सपुत्री मोहन सिंह, निवासी मण्डी नगर, जिला मण्डी हि० प्र० को बजरिया इस्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि मिति 26-9-79 को सुबह 10 बजे असासलतन व बकालतन हाज़िर होकर मुकदमा को पेश करे अन्यथा इसके बिना कार्यवाही एक तरफा अमल में लाई जावेगी।

प्राज दिनांक 27-8-79 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

जसवन्त सिंह तोमर,
सब-जज प्रथम श्रेणी।

In the Court of Shri M. D. Sharma, Sub-Judge, 1st Class
Nalagarh, District Solan H. P.

PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.

CASE No. 105/1 OF 1979
CASE No. 106/1 OF 1979

Pending for 26-9-1979.

Maltu widow of Parbhu son of Uttam, resident of Tamroh now resident of village Mahadev, Pargana Plassi, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh through her Mukhtar Devi Ram s/o Sidhu Peon at Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh. Plaintiffs.

Versus

1. Devi Ram, 2. Ram Sarup, 3. Bhagwanu sons of Narainu son of Bhandari, 4. Rang s/o Devi Ram 5. Sibia s/o Bhandari, 6. Ram Rakha s/o Khazanu, 7. Labhu alias Labha s/o Ananat Ram, resident of village Tamroh, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh, 8. Phinpharu s/o Hazuri r/o village Mahadev, Tehsil Nalagarh, 9. Munshi Ram, 10. Nikku Ram sons of Bairagi r/o village Kanaula Nehla, Pargana Nawan Shahar, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh. Defendants.

To

Shri Rang s/o Devi Ram, resident of village Tamroh, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

Whereas in the above noted case, it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the above named defendant No. 4 cannot be served in the normal course of service. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued and the above named defendant No. 4 Shri Rang s/o Devi Ram to appear in this court on or before 26-9-1979 at 10 A.M. personally or through a pleader and an authorised agent. Failing which *ex parte* proceedings will be taken against the defendant No. 4.

Given under my hand and the seal of this court this 4th September, 1979.

Seal.

M. D. SHARMA,
Sub-Judge, 1st Class.

व अदालत श्री जोगीश्वर सिंह, आई० ए० एम०, सहायक नालागढ़, जिला सोलन, हि० प्र०

इस्तहार

(जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, जान्ता दिवानी)

मुकदमा नं० 7/79

(1) श्री राम दास पुत्र फकाल, (2) श्रीमती देवकू पुत्र फकाल, (3) श्रीमती निम्मा बेवा फकाल, साकिन ग्राम बवासनी, परगना धर्मपुर, तहसील नालागढ़, जिला सोलन वाद।

बनाम

(1) श्रीमती सुरजी बेवा दसोई, (2) देवी राम, (3) साधन, (4) चेत राम पुत्राण दसोई, (5) श्रीमती नारदई पुत्री दसोई

(6) मदा राम पुत्र देवी राम, (7) राम जी पुत्र देवी राम, निवासी ग्राम बवासनी, परगना भर्मपुर, तहसील नालागढ़, जिला सोलन प्रतिवादीगण ।

पुनरावेदन नगराजी मादेश निधि 21-3-79 सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, (तहसीलदार) नालागढ़, जिस में दरखास्त तकसीम खारिज हुई ।

हरग्राह मुकदमा मुन्द्रजा धनुवान वाला में प्रतिवादी गण श्री चेत राम पुत्र दसीधो व श्रीमती नार देई पुत्री दसीधी, निवासी ग्राम बवासनी, परगना भर्मपुर, तहसील नालागढ़, जिला सोलन को कई बार बजरिया समन तलब किया गया; परन्तु इन पर मामूली तरीके पर तामील समन न हो सकी । इस से जाहिर है कि यह तामील समन से जानबूझ कर श्रेष्ठ कर रहे हैं; और अदालत को यकीन हो चुका है कि इन प्रतिवादीगण पर साधारणतया तामील समन होनी कठिन है । अतः प्रतिवादी चेत राम व नारदेई को बजरिया इस्तहार जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, जास्ता दिवानी सूचित किया जाना है कि तारीख 22-9-79 को 10 बजे सुबह अदालत या वकालत हाजिर अदालत हो कर जवाब देही/पैरबी मुकदमा करें । वसूत दिगर कार्रवाई जाबता अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज तारीख 3 माह सितम्बर मन् 1979 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत सहित जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

जोगीश्वर सिंह,
समाहर्ता ।

इस्तहार अन्तर्गत आज्ञा 5, नियम 20, भारतीय दंड प्रौ 50 व प्रधान श्री लक्ष्मण सिंह कश्यप समाहर्ता उप-मण्डल, सोलन, जिला सोलन

अपील माल नं 0 44/8-78

तारीख रजुआ 3-10-78

श्री मुपेर सिंह पुत्र श्री पूना सिंह, निवासी डोव बागड़ी, परगना भरोलीकला, तहसील व जिला सोलन

प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

सर्वश्री 1. जगदीश चन्द्र, 2. सुरेन्द्र कुमार पुत्रगण हरिमोहन सिंह, 3. मुनीना देवी उर्फ सुमित्रा देवी, 4. मुसीला देवी, 5. बीना कुमारी, 6. मन्वाला नावालिग पुत्रियां श्री हरिमोहन सिंह बजरिया श्री हरि मोहन सिंह सब निवासियान गांव अन्धेव परगना भरोली कला, तहसील व जिला सोलन

प्रत्यर्थी ।

पुनरावेदन सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय वर्ग सोलन के आदेश मिति 26-12-77 बादा मोजा डोव बागड़ी परगना भरोली कला, तहसील व जिला सोलन ।

उपरोक्त प्रभियोग/पुनरावेदन में फरीक दोयम मुनीना देवी उर्फ सुमित्रा देवी, 2. मुनीला देवी नावालिग पुत्री श्री हरिमोहन सिंह बजरिया पिता श्री हरिमोहन सिंह, निवासी गांव अन्धेव, परगना भरोली कला, तहसील व जिला सोलन को इस इस्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वह दिनांक 20-9-79 को सुबह 10 बजे इस अदालत में हाजिर आवे और मुकदमा को पैरबी करें नहीं तो एक पक्षीय निर्णय कर दिया जाएगा । क्योंकि इस अदालत हजा से कई बार समन जारी किए जा चुके हैं, जोकि बिना तामील के ही वापिस आते रहे हैं । इसलिए अदालत को पूर्ण विदवाय हो चुका है कि फरीक दोयम उपरोक्त लिखे गये नाम से, को साधारण तरीके से इतनाह होनी कठिन है, इसलिये इस इस्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है

कि प्रत्यर्थी उपरोक्त तारीख पर हाजिर आकर मुकदमा को अगालतन या वकालतन पैरबी करें ।

आज दिनांक 31-8-79 को मोहर अदालत व हमारे हस्ताक्षर से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

लक्ष्मण सिंह कश्यप,
समाहर्ता ।

इस्तहार

जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, C. P. C.

व अदालत सब-रजिस्ट्रार, चुराह, जिला चम्पा

दरहास्त जेर दफा 40 व 41 रजिस्ट्रेशन एक्ट जान चन्द पुत्र शिव राम, निवासी डूषती, परगना कोहाल, तहसील चुराह ।

श्रीमती चिकणी बेवा शिव राम, निवासी डूषती, परगना कोहाल, तहसील चुराह, जिला चम्पा ने अपनी जायदाद मनकूला व गैर मनकूला का वसीयतनामा बहक साईल पुत्र खुद तहरीर करवाया है । जो अदालत में तसदीक किया जाना उपरोक्त श्रीमती चिकणी फौत हो चुकी है । अतः बजरिया इस्तहार सर्व साधारण जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि उक्त वसीयतनामा के तसदीक होने पर अगर किसी को उजर/एतराज हो तो वह मिति 21-9-79 को वक्कत सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर अदालत आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है । वह अदालतन या वकालतन हाजिर हो सकता है दीगर उसके कोई भी उजर-एतराज समाग्रत नहीं किबा जावेगा व वसीयत नामा तसदीक कर दिया जावेगा ।

आज दिनांक 21-8-79 को हस्ताक्षर हमारे व अदालत मोहर से जारी किया गया ।

मोहर ।

हस्ताक्षरित,
सब-रजिस्ट्रार (उप-पंजीयक) ।

अदालती इस्तहार

जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, सी 0 पी 0 सी 0

व अदालत सहायक संग्रहकर्ता (नायब-तहसीलदार) तहसील धुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर, हि 0 प्र 0

मिसल नं 0 4/131 तहसील/79

दावा दरमती इन्दाज

श्री स्वामी बिद्या नन्द परम हंस चेला श्री हरिया नन्द परमहंस, गांव हटवाड़ (माधु बाग), परगना अजमेरपुर, तहसील धुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर, हि 0 प्र 0 फरीक अवधल ।

बनाम

श्री सर्वदयाल सिंह पुत्र जियू वगैरह, गांव हटवाड़, परगना अजमेरपुर, तहसील धुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर, हि 0 प्र 0

फरीक दोयम ।

1. बाबू राम मुपुत्र पंखी राम, गांव हटवाड़, परगना अजमेरपुर, तहसील धुमारवीं, जिला बिलासपुर, हि 0 प्र 0, 2. जगत पाल पुत्र तुलसी वरास्त राम महाई पुत्र निवका, 3. गांधी राम, वक्को राम और अमर नाथ मुपुत्र हरि सिंह पुत्र मुगाऊं, 4. शेर सिंह, होशयार सिंह और भागी रथ पुत्र पाला, मड़ी देवी, शकुन्ता देवी और लीला देवी पुत्री पाला, श्रीमती पारवतु देवी विषवा पाल

पुत्र मेहलार, 5 रत्न पट्ट, निक राम पुत्र बरुहा, बिट्टो देवी पुत्री
बन्धी, श्रीमता प्रायमा विधवा वस्ती पुत्र त्रियणू फरीकन दायम। से जारी हुआ।

आज दिनांक 23-8-79 को हस्ताक्षर हमारे व अदालत मोहर

दरखास्त दस्तुती इन्द्राज खमरा गिरदावरी बाबत खमरा नं० 1843
रकबा 14 बीघे 4 बिस्वे मौजा हटवाड़, परगना अजमेरपुर, तहसील
बुमारबी, जिला बिनामपुर (हि० प्र०)।

माहर।

मुभाष चन्द्र,
महायक समाहर्ता,
द्वितीय श्रेणी।

उत्तरीकन मुकदमा में फरीक दायम नम्बर 1 में 5 तक
निवासा हटवाड़, परगना अजमेरपुर, तहसील बुमारबी, जिला
बिनामपुर, हि० प्र० को इस अदालत से कई बार समन भेजे गए
परन्तु फरीकन दायम पर तामील नहीं हो पाई। अदालत को
पूर्ण यकीन हो चुका है कि उन पर साधारण तरीके से तामील
नहीं हो सकती है। अतः बजरिया इस्तहार फरीकन दायम
नं० 1 में 5 को सूचित किया जाता है कि वे दिनांक 20-9-79 को
मुकाम बुमारबी बबन 10 बजे अमानत या वकालतन परखी
मुकदमा करने हेतु प्रस्तुत होंगे अन्यथा कार्यवाही एक तरफा झमल
में लाई जावेगी।

अदालती नोटिस

व अदालत जनाब श्री चन्द्र मोहन कौशल, तहसीलदार व अन्वयारत
महायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी, बडनर, जिला हमीरपुर, हि० प्र०

काकू

बनाम

चौधरी आदि।

आज दिनांक 1-8-79 को हमारे हस्तक्षर तथा मोहर अदालत
से जारी किया गया।

उत्तवान—दरखास्त दस्तुती गरदावरी खाता नं० 17 मिन खतीनी
नं० 51 खमरा नं० 184 रकबा बकदर 13 इनाम 17 मण्डे
बाक्या टीका सरयाणां, तथा डटवाल अनुमार जमाबन्दी 1976-71।

माहर।

सुन्दर सिंह,
महायक संग्रहकर्ता,
द्वितीय श्रेणी, बुमारबी।

नोटिस बनाम—1. चौधरी पुत्र पूर्ण साकन टीका सरयाणां, तथा
डटवाल, 2. श्रीमती इन्दोरी बेवा रोहू, 3. तुलसी
4. कर्म चन्द उर्फ दीवान चन्द, 5. काशी राम,
6. उषम सिंह, 7. ईश्वर दाम, 8. जगन नाथ
पिसरान गोकल, 9. गंगी, 10. लखू साकनान
टीका मन्तुही, तथा बाहडर, तहसील हमीरपुर।

न्यायालय श्री मुभाष चन्द्र तहसीलदार चुराह बख्तियार सहायक
कुलकर्त, दर्जा दायम, तहसील चुराह, जिला चम्बा (हि० प्र०)

मिसल नं० 16/तहसील/79

अदालती इस्तहार जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, सी० पी० सी०

वेली राम पुत्र विक्रम, गांव सांजई, महाल डोरी, परगना तीसा, तहसील चुराह, जिला चम्बा
फरीक अवल।

बनाम

1. मोहन लाल, 2. अशोक कुमार पिसरान व 3. मु०, कान्ता देवी,
कमला देवी व 5. सरोज देवी पुत्रियां लक्ष्मी चन्द पुत्र निहाल चन्द
महाल निवासी रजौरी गार्डन बी/5/18 दिल्ली फरीक दायम।

दरखास्त दस्तुती इन्द्राज खमरा गिरदावरी बाबत खाता
नं० 110/166 साल 1973-74 रकबा तादादी 1-1 बीघा खमरा
नं० 992/948 महाल कुदहेड़, परगना तीसा, तहसील चुराह, जिला
चम्बा।

माहर।

चन्द्र मोहन कौशल,
महायक समाहर्ता,
द्वितीय श्रेणी।

अदालती नोटिस

मुकदमा उत्तवान वाला में फरीक दायम को इस अदालत
से कई बार समन भेजे गये परन्तु फरीक दायम तामील
करने से गुरेज करते हैं। अदालत को पूरा यकीन हो चुका है
कि उन पर साधारण तरीके से तामील नहीं हो सकती
अतः बजरिया इस्तहार फरीक दायम को सूचित किया जाता है कि
वह दिनांक 20-9-79 को अमानत या वकालतन हाजर अदालत
होकर परखी मुकदमा करें बसूरत दीगर उनके खिला कारंवाई
यकतरफा झमल में लाई जावेगी।

बअदालत जनाब चन्द्र मोहन कौशल, तहसीलदार बख्तियारत सहायक
समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी, बडनर, जिला हमीरपुर (हि० प्र०)

नानकू राम

बनाम

अमीचन्द आदि।

अनुवान—दरखास्त दस्तुती गरदावरी बाबत खाता नं० 4 मिन
खतीनी नं० 5 मिन, खमरा नं० 60 रकबा बकदर

51 कनाल, 13 मरले बाक्य; टोका करवा ला, तप्या डटवाल, तहसील बडसर ।

को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर प्रायें मन्थरा कोरवाई एकराज्य प्रयोग में लाई जायेगी ।

नोटिस बनाम—1. श्रीचन्द्र, 2. केहर सिंह, 3. कर्म सिंह, 4. प्रताप सिंह, 5. रिकी राम पिसरात, 6. दयावन्तो दुखतर, 7. पारवती बेवा रणसिंह, 8. राम सिंह पुत्र मोती साकसान टोका करवाला, तप्या डटवाल ।

प्राज तिथि 17-8-79 को हस्ताक्षर हुनारे व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

चन्द्र मोहन कोशल,
मोहर । तहसीलदार सहायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी ।

उपरोक्त मुकदमा उत्तवानवाला में सर्वश्री श्रीचन्द्र आदि की कई बार नमन जारी किए गए मगर उनकी तामील जानता नहीं हो रही है । इनसे प्रतीत होता है कि फरीकदोषम जानबूझ कर तामील करने से गुरेज कर रहे हैं । अतः अब इस इस्तहार प्रत्यार द्वारा उन्हें सूचित किया जाता है कि वह बरायें पैरबी दरखास्त स्वयं या वकील के द्वारा हमारे न्यायालय हज़ा में तिथि 20-9-79 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर प्रायें बमूरत दीगर कारवाई जानता अनल में लाई जायेगी ।

इस्तहार

अदालत एस० आर० भारद्वाज, नायब-तहसीलदार बप्रस्थापित सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, उप-तहसील शिलाई, जिला सिरमौर

प्राज तिथि 20-8-79 को हस्ताक्षर हमारे व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

इतकाल तसरीक श्री खतरी राम पुत्र दासू (मृतक) अराजी मोजा भंगाटा, उप-तहसील शिलाई फरीकसानी ।

चन्द्र मोहन कोशल,
मोहर । सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी ।

बनाम—श्रीमती सुरमी देवी पुत्री सबला राम, ग्राम नैनीघार, (भूतपूर्व पत्नी श्री खतरी राम मृतक, भंगाटा) ।

अदाननी नोटिस

अदालत जनाब श्री चन्द्र मोहन कोशल, तहसीलदार बप्रस्थापित सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, बडसर, जिला हमीरपुर, (हि० प्र०)

सीडणू उर्फ शिव सिंह बनाम वसन्ती बगैरा

उत्तवान—दरखास्त दस्तखी गरदावरी नाना नं० 9 मिन, खतीना नं० 10 मिन, खमरा नं० 152 रकवा वकदर 1 कनाल, 4 मरले बाक्य टोका नरवाल, तप्या डटवाल, तहसील बडसर अनुसार जमावनी 1076-77 ।

नोटिस बनाम—श्रीमती माया देवी दुखतर राम सिंह पुत्र निहाल, माकन पट्टा ना, तप्या डटवाल, तहसील बडसर ।

उपरोक्त दरखास्त में श्रीमती माया देवी फरीक दोषम का इस न्यायालय से कई बार समन बरायें तामील जारी किए गए जो बिना तामील हो बापिस इस न्यायालय में प्राप्त हुए जिससे न्यायालय को पूर्ण विश्वास हो चुका है कि श्रीमती माया देवी तामील में जानबूझकर अवरोध कर रही हैं । जिस कारण इसकी तामील माधारण रीति से होना कठिन है ।

अतः इस इस्तहार अवधार द्वारा उसे सूचित किया जाता है कि वह बरायें पैरबी स्वयं या वकील द्वारा ति० 18-9-1979 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर प्रायें बमूरत दीगर कारवाई जानता अनल में लाई जायेगी ।

श्री सदीक मुहम्मद, रकीक मुहम्मद, व नावालग बसीर मुहम्मद जेर रकाकन अब्दुल गफूर पिता खुद पुत्र अब्दुल गफूर पुत्र नबीना, निवासी डिनक, तहसील सुन्दरनगर फरीक अव्वल ।

अदालती नोटिस

अदालत जनाब राम दयाल वर्मा, तहसीलदार बप्रस्थापित सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी, सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० ।

मुकदमा नं० 13 मरजुआ 22-5-79

बनाम

हरी सिंह, नारायण सिंह, चौधरी राम, उग्रम सिंह पुत्र व श्रीमती रामेश्वरी, श्रीमती नहुषा, श्रीमती जयणी, श्रीमती मणी पुत्री व श्रीमती हिमा विधवा रघवीर पुत्र चूड़ु व श्री केहर सिंह, उत्तम बन्धु, वामन सिंह, समर सिंह पुत्र जगदीप पुत्र चूड़ु राजपूत, निवासी बेहली, तहसील मुन्दरनगर ... फरीक दीयम ।

तामीन करने में मुरेज करने हैं अतः अब हम इन्हें अन्वेषण द्वारा उन्हें सूचित किया जाता है कि यह नगर में मुकद्मा अन्तर्गत या वकालत न्यायालय द्वारा में तिथि 21-9-79 को सुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आये, वमुरन दीगर कार्यवाही ज्ञाता अन्तर्गत में जाई जायेगी ।

दस्तावेज तकसीम खाता मुसतरका 1/3 भाग फरीक अव्वल वित्त जुमला खाता मुसतरका मूनी खेवट नं० 187/12 वित्त खनीती नं० 284 कितात तादादी 21-12-12 बीघे बाक्या मुहल निवासी बेहली, तहसील मुन्दरनगर ।

आज तिथि 22-8-79 को तहसील हमारे व मोहर अदालत में जारी हुआ ।

मुकद्मा अनवान बाला में उपरोक्त फरीक दीयम को मोहरा ।
कई बार समन जारी किये गए मगर वह जानबूझ कर समन की

राम दयाल वर्मा,
उत्तरीजदार वधवाधारात,
महायक समाहती, प्रथम वर्ग ।

भाग 6—भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन

शून्य

भाग 7—भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं

शून्य

अनुपूरक

(देखिये पृष्ठ 1102—1109)

DAILY RAINFALL RECORDED IN HIMACHAL

[illegible]

DAILY RAINFALL RECORDED IN HIMACHAL

District and Station	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	13th	14th	15th	16th	17th	18th	19th
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Mandi:																			
Sadar ..	2.1	19.7	—	—	0.9	—	—	—	2.0	—	21.8	22.2	1.8	—	112.7	1.3	—	0.9	—
Jogindernagar ..	2.0	—	1.0	—	—	11.0	3.0	—	24.0	20.0	8.0	—	17.0	13.0	—	1.0	—	—	2.0
Sarkaghat ..	—	—	—	—	—	13.0	35.0	21.0	40.0	20.0	—	—	—	45.0	—	—	—	32.0	—
Chachlot ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.0	—	—	—	19.0	18.2	—	—	—
Sundernagar ..	2.0	—	33.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Karog ..	9.0	35.0	48.0	—	3.0	4.0	18.0	9.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bhangrota ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jhungi ..	94.2	86.4	95.2	45.0	—	—	10.2	5.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kataula ..	—	25.0	—	—	—	4.0	—	—	45.0	32.0	—	4.0	17.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Panjain ..	128.0	68.0	—	8.0	20.0	—	—	10.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.0	5.0	—	—	—
Janjehli ..	10.0	30.0	18.0	—	10.0	—	—	55.0	—	15.0	25.0	18.0	—	—	—	—	—	20.0	—
Mandi Oba ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Solan:																			
Nalagarh ..	8.0	7.0	68.02	—	—	—	13.0	—	30.0	30.0	—	—	—	—	16.07	—	—	—	—
Kasauli ..	58.02	93.06	—	—	—	36.04	—	—	30.08	13.0	—	—	—	—	2.0	—	—	—	56
Solan ..	22.0	135.0	59.00	—	—	—	17.05	—	1.05	9.07	0.05	—	—	—	1.05	5.05	0.05	—	—
Kandaghat ..	119.05	39.0	90.02	—	—	—	13.0	—	25.04	18.5	—	—	—	—	1.02	3.0	—	—	—
Arki ..	20.0	40.0	21.00	—	—	5.0	—	—	33.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.0	—	6.0
Sirmar:																			
Nahan ..	28.0	63.0	141.0	13.0	—	26.0	—	—	15.0	—	—	—	—	—	5.0	16.0	—	—	—
Paonta ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Renuka ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pachhad ..	73.0	65.0	172.0	—	—	—	31.0	26.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bagban ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dhaulta-Kuan ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Paonta-Irrigation ..	37.0	54.0	261.0	—	—	—	—	13.0	—	63.0	—	—	—	—	13.0	24.0	—	—	—
Una:																			
Una ..	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.0	—	—	4.0	2.0	—	—	—	—	12.0	—	—	—

PRADESH FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER, 1978

20th	21st	22nd	23rd	24th	25th	26th	27th	28th	29th	30th	31st	Number of rainy days	Normal number of rainy days	Total rainfall for the month	Normal rainfall for the month	Heaviest rainfall during the month	Total rainfall from 1-9-78 to 30-9-78	Normal rainfall from 1-9-78 to 30-9-78
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
17.0	—	12.1	4.0	37.0	14.3	—	1.7	—	0.7	—	—	6	9.7	114.2	179.6	22.2	114.2	—
—	—	—	—	15.0	1.0	—	—	—	2.0	—	—	10	11.9	164.0	295.7	37.0	164.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.0	—	—	9	9.2	231.0	283.0	40.0	231.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34.0	—	—	5	9.2	165.2	165.2	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.0	—	—	8	9.8	114.2	196.5	34.0	114.0	—
14.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.4	—	—	—	8	6.7	130.0	111.8	48.0	130.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10	8.9	397.0	176.2	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	8.3	171.7	171.7	95.0	397.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12	10.7	157.0	180.2	45.0	157.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11	8.0	199.0	137.0	68.0	199.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11	9.2	226.0	180.4	30.0	226.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	79	9.5	1732.4	191.7	419.2	1732.4	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.7	9.5	192.4	191.5	46.5	192.4	—
5.09	—	—	17.05	9.08	—	—	—	49.08	—	—	—	11	N.A.	255.9	N.A.	68.02	255.9	—
8.05	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	17.02	—	—	—	10	N.A.	331.8	N.A.	93.06	331.8	—
40.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.02	—	—	—	17	N.A.	168.7	N.A.	59.0	168.7	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.07	—	—	—	14	N.A.	284.5	N.A.	90.02	284.5	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.03	—	—	—	8	N.A.	141.1	N.A.	49.0	141.1	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15.0	—	—	—	60	—	1182.0	—	351.0	1182.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12	N.A.	236.4	N.A.	70.2	236.4	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	4.8	352.0	88.9	141.0	352.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	18.3	—	716.3	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15.0	—	470.4	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	16.3	367.0	393.5	172.0	367.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	17.3	—	628.9	—	—	—
3.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9	—	522.0	58.0	261.0	522.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	22	8.5	1241.0	224.6	574.0	1241.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	8.5	413.6	224.6	191.3	413.6	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	N.A.	60.0	N.A.	14.0	60.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	—	60.0	N.A.	14.0	60.0	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	N.A.	60.0	N.A.	14.0	60.0	—

B. R. LAKHANPAL (I.A.S.),

Director of Land Records, Himachal Pradesh.

DAILY RAINFALL RECORDED IN HIMACHAL

[illegible]

